

On Lipschitz Functions of subnormal Operators

*Mahmoud M Kutkut, **Mahmoud I. Al-Masri

*Dept. of math, Faculty of science, University of Jordan, Amman-Jordan

**Dept. of math, Faculty of science, Najah University, Nablus-Palestine

Received on: 11/12/1996

Accepted on: 27/11/1996

المخلص
اقتراحات ليشتز للمؤثرات الطبيعية الجزئية
لنبتنا في هذا البحث النظرية التالية:
إذا كان S مؤثراً من النوع الطبيعي الجزئي يعمل على فضاء هيلبرت H وكانت f اقتراناً تحقق شرط ليشتز على حوار مفتوح يحتوي المجموعة الطيفية للمؤثر S فإن:
$$\|f(S)X - Xf(S)\|_2 \leq k\|SX - XS\|_2$$

حيث $0 < k$ ، ولكل مؤثر X يعمل على H حيث $\|\cdot\|_2$ هو مقياس هيلبرت-شميدت.
كذلك فإن البحث يحتوي على بعض النتائج والنظريات ذات العلاقة بالنظرية الرئيسية.

ABSTRACT

We prove the following:

Theorem: Let S be a subnormal operator on an infinite dimensional separable complex Hilbert space H . Let f be analytic and Lipschitz on a neighborhood E of the spectrum $\sigma(S)$ of S . Then

$$\|f(S)X - Xf(S)\|_2 \leq k\|SX - XS\|_2,$$

for some $k > 0$ and for every $X \in B(H)$, the algebra of all bounded linear operators on H , where $\|\cdot\|_2$ is the Hilbert-Schmidt norm.

A.M.S. Subject classification number 1990: 47 B 20, 47 A 25

Key Words: Operator, Subnormal, Hilbert space, Hilbert-Schmidt norm, Lipschitz Function, Spectrum and Analytic Function.

INTRODUCTION

Let H denote an infinite dimensional complex Hilbert space, $B(H)$ denote the algebra of all bounded linear operators on H . For a compact subset E of the complex plane C , let $R(E)$ denote the algebra of all bounded analytic functions defined on E ; and $L(E)$ the set of all functions which satisfies Lipschitz condition on E , i.e., $f \in L(E)$ if and only if there is a real number $k > 0$ such that:

$$|f(z) - f(t)| \leq k|z - t|,$$

for all $z, t \in E$.

In [1], it is proved that if A is a self adjoint operator and $f \in L(\sigma(A))$ then

$$\|f(A)X - Xf(A)\|_2 \leq k\|AX - XA\|_2$$

for some $k > 0$, and every $X \in C_2$, the class of Hilbert-Schmidt operators, where $\sigma(A)$ is the spectrum of A and $\|\cdot\|_2$ is the Hilbert-Schmidt norm, defined by

$$\|T\|_2 = \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|Te_n\|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where (e_n) is an orthonormal basis of H , and T is any operator on H .

In [6], this result has been generalized to normal operators as follows;

Theorem: If N is a normal operator on H , and $f \in L(\sigma(N))$ then,

$$\|f(N)X - Xf(N)\|_2 \leq k\|NX - XN\|_2,$$

for some $k > 0$, and every $X \in B(H)$, where an operator N is said to be normal if $NN^* = N^*N$, (The operator N^* is the adjoint of N).

For the proof see [6].

In this article we generalize the above theorem to subnormal operators on H . An operator S on H is called subnormal if there is a normal extension N on a Hilbert space $K \supset H$. If N is a minimal normal extension of S , i.e., if N has no reducing subspace L of K which contains H properly; then N has the following representation

$$N = \begin{bmatrix} S & A \\ 0 & T^* \end{bmatrix}, \quad (1)$$

on $K = H \oplus H^\perp$, where A is some operator from H^\perp to H . In this case T is called the dual of S , (and T^* is the adjoint of T).

A subset E of the complex plane is called a spectral set of an operator $S \in B(H)$ if and only if, the spectrum $\sigma(S)$ of S is a subset of E and

$$\|f(S)\| \leq \|f\|_{\infty} = \sup\{|f(z)| : z \in E\},$$

for every rational function f with poles off E , or equivalently $f \in R(E)$.

Conway [4] proved that if S is a subnormal operator with dual T and E is a spectral set of S then,

$$f(N) = \begin{bmatrix} f(S) & A' \\ 0 & f(T^*) \end{bmatrix}, \quad (2)$$

for any $f \in R(E)$, where A' is some operator from H^{\perp} to H .

The following theorem is the main result of this article.

Theorem 1: Let S be a subnormal operator on H . If $f \in R(E) \cap L(E)$, then

$$\|f(S)X - Xf(S)\|_2 \leq k\|SX - XS\|_2 \quad (3)$$

for some $k > 0$, and every $X \in B(H)$.

Proof: Since S is a subnormal operator on H , therefore there is a sequence of normal operators (N_i) such that (N_i) converges to S , in the strong operator topology, denoted by sot ; (see Conway [3] pages 123, 124, 125).

We claim that if $f \in R(E)$, then $f(N_i)$ converges to $f(S)$ (sot). Indeed; by the construction of (N_i) ,

$$N_i = v_i^{-1} N v_i, \quad i=1,2,3,\dots, \quad (4)$$

where N is a normal extension of S to a Hilbert space $K \supset H$, (See the proof of Theorem 1.17, page 125 in Conway [3]), and

$v_i: H \rightarrow K$,

is an isomorphism such that $v_i = \text{identity}$ on H_i , where

$$H_i = V\{e_1, \dots, e_i, Se_1, \dots, Se_i\} = \text{Span}\{e_1, \dots, e_i, Se_1, \dots, Se_i\}.$$

This means that N_i and N are similar (where two operators S, T are said to be similar if there is an invertible operator $X: S = X^{-1}TX$). Thus, by problem 75 in Halmos [5], (page 42, which says that two similar operators has the same spectrum).

$$\sigma(N_i) = \sigma(N), \quad i=1,2,3,\dots$$

Since N is a normal extension of S , it follows that $\sigma(N) \subset \sigma(S)$.
Therefore

$$\sigma(N_i) \subset E, i=1,2,3,\dots$$

Thus $f(N_i)$ is well-defined for every f in $R(E)$ and every $i=1,2,3,\dots$

Now, since $f \in R(E)$, there is a sequence of polynomials (P_n) such that (P_n) converges to f , uniformly on compact subsets of E . This implies, using Conway [2] (page 206, by Riesz functional calculus theorem) that $P_n(N_i)$ converges to $f(N_i)$, in the uniform operator topology (denoted by (uot).), i.e., given i , we have:

$$\|P_n(N_i) - f(N_i)\| \rightarrow 0, n \rightarrow \infty, i = 1,2,3,\dots$$

$$\|P_n(S_i) - f(S)\| \rightarrow 0, n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus, for every $\epsilon > 0$, and nonzero $e \in H$, there is J such that:

$$\|P_n(N_i) - f(N_i)\| < \frac{\epsilon}{3\|e\|}, n > J, i = 1,2,3,\dots$$

$$\|P_n(S_i) - f(S)\| < \frac{\epsilon}{3\|e\|}, n > J.$$

For arbitrary $e \in H$, and $n_0 = J+1$, this implies that:

$$\begin{aligned} \|(f(N_i) - f(S))e\| &\leq \|(f(N_i) - P_{n_0}(N_i))e\| + \|(P_{n_0}(N_i) - P_{n_0}(S))e\| \\ &\quad + \|(P_{n_0}(S) - f(S))e\| \\ &< 2\epsilon/3 + \|(P_{n_0}(N_i) - P_{n_0}(S))e\|. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Since P_{n_0} is a polynomial and since the strong convergence is sequentially continuous (see Halmos [5], problem, 113 page 62), one concludes that

$$P_{n_0}(N_i) \rightarrow P_{n_0}(S), \text{ as } i \rightarrow \infty, (\text{sot}),$$

and thus to every $\epsilon > 0$, $\exists J$ such that:

$$\|(P_{n_0}(N_i) - P_{n_0}(S))\epsilon\| < \epsilon/3, \text{ for } i > J. \quad (6)$$

Combining (5) and (6) gives the convergence of $f(N_i)$ to $f(S)$, (sot), for every $f \in R(E)$, (notice that this result is trivial for $e=0$).

Now, applying problem 112 page 62 in Halmos [5], (which inserts that product is left and right continuous with one argument fixed, $A \rightarrow AB$ or $A \rightarrow BA$) one obtains the following:

$$N_i X \rightarrow S X, \text{ sot}; X N_i \rightarrow X S, \text{ sot}, i \rightarrow \infty,$$

$$f(N_i) X \rightarrow f(S) X, \text{ sot}; X f(N_i) \rightarrow X f(S), \text{ sot}, i \rightarrow \infty,$$

for an arbitrary X in $B(H)$. This implies that:

$$N_i X - X N_i \rightarrow S X - X S, \text{ sot}, i \rightarrow \infty, \quad (7)$$

$$f(N_i) X - X f(N_i) \rightarrow f(S) X - X f(S), \text{ sot}, i \rightarrow \infty, \quad (8)$$

In [6], we have, for every $\epsilon > 0$, $N = D_\epsilon + K_\epsilon$ and $f(N) = f(D_\epsilon) + C_\epsilon$, where D_ϵ is a diagonal operator, $\|K_\epsilon\|_2 < \epsilon, \|C_\epsilon\|_2 \rightarrow 0$, as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.

If $D_\epsilon e_n = \lambda_n e_n$, and $X = (x_{ij})$, is the corresponding matrix of X , relative to the orthonormal basis (e_n) of H , then the (ij) entry for $D_\epsilon X - XD_\epsilon$ is $(\lambda_i - \lambda_j)x_{ij}$. Also the (ij) entry for $f(D_\epsilon)X - Xf(D_\epsilon)$ is $(f(\lambda_i) - f(\lambda_j))x_{ij}$.

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \|(NX - XN)e_n\| - \|(D_\epsilon X - XD_\epsilon)e_n\| &\leq \|(K_\epsilon X - XK_\epsilon)e_n\| \\ &\leq 2\|K_\epsilon\|_2 \|X\|, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|(f(N)X - Xf(N))e_n\| - \|(f(D_\epsilon)X - Xf(D_\epsilon))e_n\| &\leq \|(C_\epsilon X - XC_\epsilon)e_n\| \\ &\leq 2\|C_\epsilon\|_2 \|X\|. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \|(f(D_\epsilon)X - Xf(D_\epsilon))e_n\|^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |f(\lambda_i) - f(\lambda_n)|^2 |x_{in}|^2 \\ &\leq k^2 \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_i - \lambda_n|^2 |x_{in}|^2 \leq k^2 \|(D_\epsilon X - XD_\epsilon)e_n\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, we obtain:

$$\|(f(N)X - Xf(N))e_n\| \leq k\|(NX - XN)e_n\|, \quad (9)$$

for every n .

Having obtained these results we have,

$$\|(SX - XS)e_n\| - \|(N_i X - XN_i)e_n\| \leq \|[(SX - XS) - (N_i X - XN_i)]e_n\| \rightarrow 0$$

as $i \rightarrow \infty$.

Since $f(N_i)$ converges to $f(S)$ in (sot) one has:

$$\begin{aligned} \|(f(S)X - Xf(S))e_n\| - \|(f(N_i)X - Xf(N_i))e_n\| \\ \leq \|[(f(S)X - Xf(S)) - (f(N_i)X - Xf(N_i))]e_n\| \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as $i \rightarrow \infty$.

Combining these inequalities, for arbitrary $\epsilon > 0$, e in H and sufficiently large i and using (9), one obtains:

$$\begin{aligned} \|(f(S)X - Xf(S))e_n\| &\leq \|(f(N_i)X - Xf(N_i))e_n\| + \epsilon/2 \\ &\leq k\|(N_i X - XN_i)e_n\| + \epsilon/2 \\ &< k\left\{\|(SX - XS)e_n\| + \frac{\epsilon}{2k}\right\} + \epsilon/2 \\ &< k\|(SX - XS)e_n\| + \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Thus (since ϵ is arbitrary),

$$\|(f(S)X - Xf(S))e_n\| \leq \|(SX - XS)e_n\|, \quad (10)$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(S)X - Xf(S)\|_2^2 &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|(f(S)X - Xf(S))e_n\|^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} k^2 \|(SX - XS)e_n\|^2 \\ &\leq k^2 \|SX - XS\|_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

which ends the proof.

Remark: The analyticity of the Lipschitz function in Theorem 1 is essential, this is emphasized by the following.

Example: Let S be the unilateral shift on H , defined by $Se_n = e_{n+1}$, $n=1,2,\dots$ and U be the bilateral shift on H , defined by $Ue_n = e_{n+1}$, $n=\dots, -1, 0, 1, 2,\dots$ and let $f(z) = \bar{z}$. This function is Lipschitz with $k=1$, but not analytic on a neighborhood of $\sigma(S)$, which is the closed unit disc \bar{D} . It is known that S is subnormal (but not normal), with adjoint $S^* = f(S) = f(U)|_H = U^*|_H$, where U is the bilateral shift; a normal extension of S to $H \oplus H^\perp$, see [3]. If we assume that Theorem 1 is true

for non analytic Lipschitz function, and we let $X=S$, we would obtain that:

$$\|S^*S - SS^*\| \leq \|SS - SS\| = 0,$$

which means that S is normal, which is a contradiction.

Corollary: Let S_1, S_2 be subnormal operators in $B(H)$ and let $f \in R(E) \cap L(E)$, $E \supset \sigma(S_1) \cup \sigma(S_2)$ then:

$$\|f(S_1)X - Xf(S_2)\|_2 \leq k\|S_1X - XS_2\|_2,$$

for some $k > 0$, and every X in $B(H)$. If $X=I$, then

$$\|f(S_1) - f(S_2)\|_2 \leq k\|S_1 - S_2\|_2$$

Proof: Define S and Y on $H \oplus H$ by:

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} S_1 & 0 \\ 0 & S_2 \end{bmatrix}, Y = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & X \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The operator S is subnormal and for any $f \in R(E)$,

$$f(s) = \begin{bmatrix} f(S_1) & 0 \\ 0 & f(S_2) \end{bmatrix},$$

Therefore, we have:

$$\|SY - YS\|_2 = \|S_1X - XS_2\|_2,$$

and

$$\|f(S)Y - Yf(S)\|_2 = \|f(S_1)X - Xf(S_2)\|_2.$$

Applying Theorem 1 to S , for $f \in R(E) \cap L(E)$, we obtain the conclusion, and the special case follows by taking $X=I$.

Theorem2: If S is a subnormal operator on H , whose dual is T on H^\perp and if f is in $R(E) \cap L(E)$, where $\sigma(S) \subset E$, then,

$$\|f(S)X - Xf(T^*)\|_2 \leq k\|SX - XT^*\|_2,$$

for some $k>0$, and every $X \in B(H^\perp, H)$; the algebra of bounded linear operators from H^\perp to H . In particular, if H^\perp is a copy of H , (i.e., $H^\perp \cong H$), then:

$$\|f(S) - f(T^*)\|_2 \leq k\|S - T^*\|_2,$$

Proof: for $X \in B(H^\perp, H)$, define $Y \in B(K)$, $K = H \oplus H^\perp$ by,

$$Y = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & X \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Using the representations (1) one can show that:

$$NY - YN = \begin{bmatrix} S & A \\ 0 & T^* \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & X \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 0 & X \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} S & A \\ 0 & T^* \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & SX - XT^* \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Similarly for $f \in R(E) \cap L(E)$, and using (2), one obtains:

$$f(N)Y - Yf(N) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & f(S)X - Xf(T^*) \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

For an orthonormal basis (e_n) for H^\perp , we obtain:

$$(NY - YN) \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ e_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} (SX - XT^*)e_n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (11)$$

and

$$(f(N)Y - Yf(N)) \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ e_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} (f(S)X - Xf(T^*))e_n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (12)$$

Combining (11), (12) and applying (9), one obtains:

$$\begin{aligned}\|(f(S)X - Xf(T^*))e_n\| &= \|(f(N)Y - Yf(N))e_n\| \\ &\leq k\|(NY - YN)e_n\| \\ &\leq k\|(SX - XT^*)e_n\|,\end{aligned}$$

for every n , and thus:

$$\begin{aligned}\|f(S)X - Xf(T^*)\|_2^2 &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|(f(S)X - Xf(T^*))e_n\|^2 \\ &\leq k^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|(SX - XT^*)e_n\|^2 \\ &\leq k^2 \|SX - XT^*\|_2^2.\end{aligned}$$

This implies that:

$$\|f(S)X - Xf(T^*)\|_2 \leq k \|SX - XT^*\|_2,$$

for the other conclusion let $X=I$ on $H^{\perp}=H$, and this proves the theorem.

Two operators T, S on H are said to be unitarily equivalent if there is a unitary operator u on H such that:

$$T=uSu^*.$$

For unitarily equivalent operators we prove the following:

Theorem3: Let $S \in B(H)$, $f \in R(E) \cap L(E)$, where $\sigma(S) \subset E$, such that

$$\|f(S)X - Xf(S)\|_2 \leq k\|SX - XS\|_2 \quad (13)$$

for some $k > 0$, and any $X \in B(H)$. If $T \in B(H)$ is unitarily equivalent to S , then

$$\|f(T)Y - Yf(T)\|_2 \leq k\|TY - YT\|_2,$$

for some $k > 0$, and any $Y \in B(H)$.

Proof: Let u be a unitary operator on H , such that $T = uSu^*$. Since $f \in R(E)$, it is not difficult to show that $f(T) = uf(S)u^*$. If (e_n) is an orthonormal basis for H , it is known that (u^*e_n) is an orthonormal basis for H too, for any unitary operator u . Thus one has

$$\begin{aligned} \|(f(T)Y - Yf(T))e_n\| &= \|(uf(S)u^*Y - Yuf(S)u^*)e_n\| \\ &= \|(uf(S)u^*Yuu^* - uu^*Yuf(S)u^*)e_n\| \\ &= \|(uf(S)X - Xf(S))u^*e_n\| \\ &= \|(f(S)X - Xf(S))g_n\|, \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

where

$$X = u^*Yu, Y \in B(H), (g_n) = (u^*e_n).$$

Using (14), we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\|f(T)Y - Yf(T)\|_2^2 &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|(f(T)Y - Yf(T))e_n\|^2 \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|(f(S)X - Xf(S))g_n\|^2 \\ &= \|f(S)X - Xf(S)\|_2^2.\end{aligned}$$

By the assumption of the theorem one concludes that

$$\begin{aligned}\|f(T)Y - Yf(T)\|_2^2 &\leq k^2 \|SX - XS\|_2^2 \\ &\leq k^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|(SX - XS)g_n\|^2 \\ &\leq k^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|u(SX - XS)u^*e_n\|^2 \\ &\leq k^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|(uSu^*uXu^* - uXu^*uSu^*)e_n\|^2 \\ &\leq k^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|(TY - YT)e_n\|^2 \\ &= k^2 \|(TY - YT)\|_2^2,\end{aligned}$$

which shows that

$$\|f(T)Y - Yf(T)\|_2 \leq k \|TY - YT\|_2.$$

To end up the proof we should remark that since T is unitarily equivalent to S , they have the same spectrum, so that $\sigma(T) \subset E$ as well.

Finally we end this article by the following.

Theorem:4: If S satisfies (13), then every operator in the closure of the unitary orbit $u(S)$ of S in the uniform operator topology (uot) satisfies (13), where

$$u(S) = \{uSu^* : u \text{ is unitary on } H\}.$$

Proof: Since $S \in B(H)$ such that for $f \in R(E) \cap L(E)$, we have

$$\|f(S)X - Xf(S)\|_2 \leq k \|SX - XS\|_2, \quad (15)$$

for some $k > 0$, and every X in $B(H)$. Theorem 3 shows that every operator in $u(S)$ satisfies (13). So that, we assume that (u_n) is a sequence of unitary operators on H , such that $(u_n S u_n^*)$ converges to A in (uot), and we want to show that A satisfies (13). Indeed, from uniform convergence: $\|u_n S u_n^* - A\| \rightarrow 0$, we have $(u_n f(S) u_n^*)$ converges to $f(A)$ in (uot), for every $f \in R(E)$. By the upper semi continuity of the spectrum, one has

$$\sigma(f(A)) \subset \sigma(u_n f(S) u_n^*) \subset E.$$

Since uniform operator topology convergence of (T_i) to A implies uniform operator topology convergence of $f(T_i)$ to $f(A)$, for $f \in R(E)$, one concludes for unitary (u_n) that

$$(u_n f(S) u_n^*) = f(u_n S u_n^*),$$

converges to $f(A)$ in (uot). Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(f(A)X - Xf(A))e\| &\leq \|(f(A)X - Xf(A)) - ((u_n f(S) u_n^*)X - X(u_n f(S) u_n^*))\| \\ &\quad + \|((u_n f(S) u_n^*)X - X(u_n f(S) u_n^*))\| \\ &\leq \epsilon/2 + \|u_n (f(S)Y_n - Y_n f(S)u_n^*)e\| \\ &\leq \epsilon/2 + \|(f(S)Y_n - Y_n f(S))g\| \\ &\leq \epsilon/2 + k\|(SY_n - Y_n S)g\| \\ &\leq \epsilon/2 + k\|(u_n S u_n^* X - X u_n S u_n^*)e\| \\ &\leq \epsilon/2 + k\|(u_n S u_n^* X - X u_n S u_n^*)e - (AX - XA)e\| \\ &\quad + k\|(AX - XA)e\| \\ &\leq \epsilon/2 + k\left(\frac{\epsilon}{2k}\right) + k\|(AX - XA)e\| \\ &\leq \epsilon + k\|(AX - XA)e\|. \end{aligned}$$

Since ϵ is arbitrary, we have

$$\|(f(A)X - Xf(A))e\| \leq k\|(AX - XA)e\|.$$

Now, for an orthonormal basis (e_n) for H ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(A)X - Xf(A)\|_2^2 &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|(f(A)X - Xf(A))e_n\|^2 \\ &\leq k^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|(AX - XA)e_n\|^2 \\ &\leq k^2 \|AX - XA\|_2^2, \end{aligned}$$

and thus A satisfies (13) for every A in the uniform closure of $u(S)$.

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank to referees for their useful suggestions.

REFERENCES

- 1) Amrein, W.O. Pearson D.B., Commutators of Hilbert-Schmidt type and scattering cross section. Ann. Inst. Poincare sect. A(N.S)30,1979 (89-107).
- 2) Conway J.B., A first course in functional analysis. Springer verlag N.Y.1985.
- 3) Conway J.B., Subnormal operators. Pitman book limited, London, 1981.
- 4) Conway J.B., The dual of subnormal operators. J. operator theory, 5, 1981 (195-211).
- 5) Halmos P.R., Hilbert space problem book. Springer verlag, Berlin, second ed. 1982.
- 6) Kittaneh F, on Lipschitz functions of normal operators, proc. Amer. Math. Soc. V. 94, No. 3, 1985 (416-418).
- 7) Saito Teishiro, Dilation of operators and Spectral sets. Lectures on operator algebra, L.N. in Math. Springer verlag N.Y, 1971.