

MARKET MICROSTRUCTURE: AN APPROACH TO DETERMINE THE COMPONENTS OF BLACK-BOX

Muath Asmar^{*}, Zamri Ahmad^{**}

Finance Section, School of Management, Universiti Sains Malaysia, Penang Island, 11800, Malaysia

Abstract

The processes and rules of exchanging securities are considered an important issue since they affect the way in which trades are determined, prices are formed and scope of asymmetric information. Literature show an extensive theoretical, empirical and experimental studies on market microstructure relating to assets pricing, international finance and corporate finance (Madhavan, 2000). However, the ways of describing how exchange process occurs in the markets are varied. This paper provides an approach in order to determine the components of the market microstructure black-box in terms of trading mechanisms and regulations governing various aspects of trading process. The proposed approach provides a standardised guide which allows researchers to identify and compare the themes in market microstructure and issues facing the process of trading securities. Thus, this approach may be used as a source for future research ideas in comparing the market microstructure of exchanges. It also provides necessary input to the regulatory bodies to enhance the design of better markets. Furthermore, Harris (2002) contends that understanding how markets work and how it regulated can improve the investors trading strategies and they can better manage the brokers who work for them as well as the investors will be able to predict how various rules affect price efficiency, liquidity, and trading profits.

Keywords: Market Microstructure, Trading Mechanisms, Market Regulations

1. INTRODUCTION

Microstructure is usually very specific about the mechanism and regulation used to accomplish of trading. It has an impact on market efficiency, the securities values, the securities liquidity, the market transparency, and the transaction costs. This area of finance is not new and it has long history but during the last four decades it acquired a distinct identity. The market microstructure covers the trading rules and trading system used by a market through which investors predictions of the future and their trading strategies are ultimately translated into the current assets prices and trading volumes. O'Hara (1995) has described market microstructure as the study of the process and outcomes of exchanging assets under explicit trading rules. Madhavan (2000) has defined the market microstructure as the process by which investors' latent demands are ultimately translated into prices and volumes. One important implication drawn from these definitions is that the market microstructure is shaped by trading mechanisms and trading regulations.

* Muath Asmar, PhD Candidate, Finance Section, School of Management, Universiti Sains Malaysia.
Email: muathasmar@msn.com.

** Associate Professor. Dr. Zamri Ahmad, Deputy Dean (Graduate Studies & Research) School of Management, Universiti Sains Malaysia. Email: zahmad@usm.my

Securities markets over the entire world are currently structured in a myriad of ways in terms of trading mechanisms and regulations governing various aspects of trading. They are also transformed fundamentally and rapidly as the computerised trading of securities replaces the traditional open outcry trading (O'Hara, 2001).

Determining how exactly a market is structured is an important for researchers to conduct a comparative studies in order to identify the optimal structure for trading securities in terms of market quality and efficiency (Comerton-Forde & Rydge, 2004), and for individuals investors to understand how markets work and regulate. Thus, the individual investors will improve their trading strategies, and they can better manage the brokers, who work for them. They will also be able to predict how various rules affect price efficiency, liquidity, and trading profits (Harris, 2002). Several studies explore the microstructure of securities exchanges in the world, a region and within a country. Comerton-Forde & Rydge (2004) provide a review of the market microstructure of eighteen of the world's largest and most influential stock markets. They found that there are significant differences in microstructure between regions as well as between individual exchanges in terms of trading mechanisms and market regulations. They also contend that historical, economic and political developments are of major importance in explaining these differences. In their subsequent study Comerton-Forde & Rydge (2006) review the market microstructure of ten Asia-Pacific stock exchanges. They document significant differences in market design across Asia-Pacific stock exchanges. In addition Xu (2000) describes the microstructure of the Chinese stock exchanges; they have documented differences between these exchanges. Such studies have taken a snapshot on the market microstructure in a specified time. However, in reality securities markets make major changes in their trading rules and new methods of trading arise with surprising frequency. This necessitates a comprehensive approach to determine the components of the Black-Box of the microstructure. These components can be classified according to the trading mechanism and trading regulation, which shape the structure of market.

The needs for a standardised guide to allow researchers to identify and compare the differences between securities markets in a certain time or individual market over different times motivate us to conduct this research. The purpose of this descriptive paper is to identify and classify the components of the market microstructure by reviewing the prominent and important relevant studies on the market microstructure.

The remaining of this paper is organised as follows: Section two provides a brief summary of the important relevant studies on the market microstructure. Section three discusses the trading mechanism. Section four discusses trading regulation. Section five is the conclusion of the paper.

2. LITERATURE ON MARKET MICROSTRUCTURE

The market microstructure research is important for illustrating the behaviour of prices and markets, which has direct influence on the market regulation, and on the design and formulation of trading mechanisms. O'Hara (1995) provides a detailed survey of the theoretical literature and considers the standard reference for the economic theory of market microstructure. Madhavan (2000) surveyed the literature on the microstructure studies, building on empirical, theoretical and experimental studies relating to markets and trading. Harris (2002) provides a detailed conceptual overview about trading, the people who trade securities and contracts, the marketplaces where they trade, and the rules that govern trading; his focus is on the practitioners not on the academic literature. Biais, Glosten and Spatt (2005) provide a comprehensive review analyzing the price formation and trading process, interrelation between institutional structure, strategic behaviour, prices and welfare. Hasbrouck (2007) provides a detailed integrated introduction to the most important models of empirical market microstructure studies.

Several studies have focused on a comparison between two trading methods in a similar span of time. For instance, based on the differences in execution methods applied in the opening and closing transactions, Amihud & Mendelson (1987) have compared the behaviour of call market and continuous auction returns on NYSE stocks. They have found that call market return variance is higher than continuous auction return variance. Comerton-Forde (1999)


has compared the opening method used by the Australian Stock Exchange and the Jakarta Stock Exchange. He has documented that the trading method affects the securities liquidity and volatility. Theissen (1999) has compared the transaction cost in the trading mechanism used by Frankfurt Stock Exchange where trading conducted in floor and in electronic trading system at the same time. He has reported that the bid-ask spreads, tends to be larger on the floor than electronic trading system. Additionally, Chow, Lee, & Shyy (1996) have investigated the price and volume pattern around the point of mechanism switch from floor to automated system. The results indicated that the trading volume on the floor is more than that of automated system around the switch. Based on these results, they suggested that the traders tend to transact on the floor that may exist around the switch from floor to automated system. The forgoing mentioned studies have focused on the differences between two trading methods employed in the trading process. On the other hand, several studies have taken into accounts the differences in trading regulation. For example Subrahmanyam (1994) examined the impact of the circuit breaker regulatory tool on market participants trading decisions and consequently on market liquidity and price variability. He showed that the circuit breaker may increase price variability and the probability of the price that cross the circuit breaker bounds. This takes place if the price is very close to the breaker limit and if agents place a high value on their desire to trade. Wong, Liu, & Zeng (2009) have investigated the magnet effects of price limit rules on the Shanghai Stock Exchange. They have found that security prices move towards limit bounds at faster rates and with increased volatility and higher trade frequency. Moreover, Onnela, Tyli, & Kaski (2009) have studied the effect of changes in tick size on asset returns. They have proved that the traders do not use all price fractions as it is allowed by the tick size. This leads to a clustering of prices on certain fractions and a reduction in effective tick size and subsequently this phenomenon could potentially affect the distribution of securities return.

Based on the studies in market microstructure reviewed above, it is plausible to classify the microstructure according to the trading mechanism and trading regulation. Trading mechanism implies the method of accomplishing the trading process, while trading regulation dictates how and when orders can be submitted and processed. This classification of market microstructure has twofold justifications. First, the securities markets that employ similar trading mechanism could be dissimilar with regard to trading regulation. For instance, securities exchanges such as Australian Stock Exchange, Bursa Malaysia, Tokyo Stock Exchange and Swiss Exchange operate a fully automated order driven trading system. However, the trading regulations that govern the trading process are varied in these exchanges. The second justification is that the changing in the market regulations can be simply implemented by securities exchanges. On the other hand, the changing in the trading mechanisms requires real investment which takes time to be implemented.

3. TRADING MECHANISM

Trading mechanisms refer to the methods of trading securities. Trading mechanisms are determined by several dimensions including market type, price discovery, order forms and degree of transparency as illustrated in Figure 1.

Figure: 1. The Dimensions of Trading Mechanisms.



Market Type

Securities market type has three dimensions which are degree of continuity, reliance on market makers and degree of automation (Madhavan, 2000). With regard to the degree of continuity, there are two types of market: the first one is the 'call market' where selling and buying orders are grouped together during an interval period of time and transact at single price, which equates the quantity supplied to the quantity demanded. The second one is the continuous auction market, where selling and buying orders are executed whenever submitted. The executing price represents the highest price that a buyer is willing to pay and the lowest price that a seller is willing to sell (Chang, Hsu, Huang, & Rhee, 1999). With respect to the reliance on market makers, securities exchange considered as quote-driven market where prices are determined from quotations made by market makers or specialists. While securities exchange considered as order-driven market or auction market where prices are determined by the publication of orders to buy or sell shares via public investors without market makers' intermediation (Madhavan, 2000).

Concerning the degree of automation, trading mechanisms can operate either on the floor or by means of electronic systems. Regarding the first type, trading mechanism relies on an open outcry method where exchange uses face to face verbal and hands signal. In the second type, trading mechanism employs an electronic trading system where participants key in the orders.

With these multidimensional market types a plethora of choices for the trading of securities are available to the securities exchanges. Therefore, most of the securities markets are actually hybrids, involving dealers, clearings, one- and two-sided auctions, and bilateral bargaining (Hasbrouck, 2007).

Trading Sessions and Price Discovery

A trading session is a defined period of time, consists of several phases from the preopening phase to the closing phase where the trading of securities may take place. Each phase within trading session implies a process of trading and price discovery under explicit trading rules.

Trading in the securities exchange occurs frequently using periodic or continues auctions. It is open for specific days a week. Exchanges could splits the trading day up into a morning and afternoon session. Each trading session goes through a series of phases which are usually opening, continuous trading, preclosing, closing and trading at last. In addition, trading could include preopening phases. In each phase of trading specific orders types are allowed to be entered, modified or deleted, these orders are batched for execution at a certain execution price calculated by the trading system or set by the market makers. It is important to identify the process of price discovery in each trading phases and the rule of order priority whereas transactions are taken place.

Order Forms

A trader in stock market can contact a brokerage firm to place an order, which represents the intent of the trader to sell or buy a specific stock listed in the secondary market. In reality, traders have several options when it comes to placing an order to buy or sell securities with regards to order types. Orders are contingent on a variety of conditions concerning quantity, price and time, whereas the most commonly used types of order are the market and limit orders.

A market order is a quantity contingent order used to immediately buy or sell a stock at the best bid or ask price currently available in the market. Market orders are always guaranteed to be executed as long as there are active buyers or sellers in the market. The market order guarantees the quantity but not the price, especially in fast moving markets. The order in fast moving markets might be executed at different price from real-time obtained price. This drawback in market order can be overcome by placing a limit order. Limit order is price contingent order to buy or sell a stock at a specific price outside the range of the current quotes. This type of orders allows traders to control and

guarantee the price at which the trade is executed, but it is not guaranteed to be executed unless the specified price is reached.

Another price contingent order is stop order which allows trader to protect profits or stop loss. The stop order is an order to buy or sell a stock when the price of the stock reaches a specified price known as the stop price. When a current price reaches stop price, the stop order becomes a market order. A buy stop order is always placed at a price above the current market price typically used to limit a loss or protects a profit on short sales. A stop sell order is always placed below the current market price and it is used to stop loss or protects profits.

Stop limit order is a price contingent order to buy or sell a stock that combines the features of a stop order and a limit order. This order turns into a limit order when the stop price is attained. Stop limit order gives traders more control of when and at what price the order will be executed.

Additionally, there are other types of orders used to control price, quantity or execution of trade, such as fill or kill order, which is a market or limit order to buy or sell a certain stock for a specified quantity immediately, in case the order is not executed in its entirety, it will be automatically cancelled. Another type orders is called all or nothing, which is a limit order used to a buy or sell full amount of quantity or not at all, in case there is insufficient quantity at a specified price the all or nothing order unlike the fill or kill order, it is not cancelled and it remains on the order book as a limit order.

All orders are day orders, that is, valid on and for the day when they are placed, unless otherwise specified. However, the trader can place good till cancelled order usually is a limit or stop order, which it remains valid until executed, cancelled or expired after a specified period. Moreover the trader can specify at what time the order will be executed. For example a trader can place market on opening order in the pre opening trading phase, this order would be executed at the opening of the trading session at an opening price; also the trader can place market on closing order in the pre closing trading phase, this order will be executed at the closing phase at closing price.


Market Transparency

Market usually is transparent when high quantity and quality of information regarding current and past prices, quotes, depths, volumes and the identities of market participants are rapidly available to the public. In this sense 'market transparency refers to the ability of market participants to observe information about the trading process' (O'Hara, 1995). When discussing market transparency, it can be divided into pre-and post-trading dimension. Pre-trading transparency refers to the dissemination of information about the limit-order book, bid and ask quotations, orders flow, identities of market participants, market depth. Post-trading transparency refers to the availability and velocity of dissemination of the information to the public about trading details such as volumes, prices, trader identities and transaction time. Generally, electronic markets that communicate in real time the bids and offers of buyers and sellers and the prices of executed trades are considered highly transparent. On the other hand, the dealer markets often have no publicly visible bids or offers, nor any trade reporting, and are therefore usually considered 'opaque' (Hasbrouck, 2007).

4. MARKET REGULATIONS

Market Regulations refer to the rules of trading securities defined by securities market to control various aspects of trading process, such as the rules of order priority, tick size and spread, listing, trading unit, price thresholds, trading status, short selling and off-market trading as illustrated in Figure 2.

Figure: 2. The Dimensions of Market Regulations.



Rules of Order Priority

Matching of the security orders priority is given according to certain criteria determined by securities exchange. Since the quantity contingent orders match at the best available prices, they are given priority and executed before price contingent orders. For instance, price and time priority rules take place in the continuous auction markets where market rules often require the highest of bid or lowest of ask price order received to be executed first. In case of two bids or asks are received at the same price, the first entered bid or ask order is given priority and is executed first. Unlike the continuous auction markets, the dealer markets do not operate under price and time priority rules. In this type of markets, it is a sine qua non for brokerages to seek the preferable prices for trader orders.

Rules of Tick Size and Spread

The minimum change allowed by the stock exchanges in the price, a security could go either up or down, is known as a tick size. Tick size could be in decimals or fractions such as 'eighths or sixteenths'; it could also be fixed or varied within different price ranges. Tick size is an important factor determining the bid-ask spread, which is the difference between a security's bid price and its ask price. The size of the spread is attributed to liquidity and transparency of the market, that is, more liquidity and transparency in the market decrease the bid-ask spreads. In quote driven markets, dealers buy stocks at the ask price and sell at the bid price. Thus, the size of the bid-ask spread is proportional to the size of the dealer's profit.

Rules of Listing

Securities exchanges have listing requirements to approve listing shares of companies in accordance with listing rules. Securities exchanges have different sections where companies would be listed. Securities are allocated to a particular

section of market whereby different trading mechanism and trading rules are carried out based on a number of criteria such as company size, liquidity and trading activities.

Rules of a Trading Unit

Trading of securities in exchanges could be conducted in a standard trading unit which is a number of securities that is generally accepted for ordinary trading purposes on the exchanges. However, trading securities could be conducted in odd-lot which is the quantity that differs from a standard trading unit. Securities exchanges usually employ different trading units of listed companies subject to trading prices.

Rules of Price Thresholds

A price threshold refers to the range of price movement (maximum price increases or decreases) from the previous closing price permitted by securities exchange during one trading session or one trading day.

Rules of Trading Status

Securities exchanges use specified rules in certain circumstances that require ceasing the matching of one stock or securities group. Such a process usually anticipates a news announcement or corrects an order imbalance. Implementing such rules would increase the market efficiency by giving all investors equal opportunities to evaluate news and make either buying, selling or holding decisions which are based on the arrival of new information.

Rules of Short Selling

When an investor anticipates that the price of a certain stock will rise in the future, buying and holding the security could be the best strategy. Conversely, when an investor believes that the price of a certain stock will decrease in the future, selling the security could be considered as the best strategy. In this case if an investor does not hold the stock, he/she can sell in short, which means that he/she borrows an amount of stocks from the broker and sells it in the market hoping that the prices will go down. Then the investor can buy that amount from the exchange and give it back to the broker. Thus the difference between the sell price and buy price would be the investor profits or losses.

Since short sellers possess important information and their trades are important accomplishments affecting stock prices efficiency (Boehmer, Jones, & Zhang, 2007). Securities exchanges implemented restricted rules regarding the short selling, in some exchanges short selling is not allowed, while it is permitted in others.

Rules of Off-Market Trading

Off-market trading refers to the transaction stocks of listed companies which occur outside a formal securities exchange. Off-market transactions are conducted through negotiation rather than an auction system. The reason for using off-market trading is usually to transact big block of stock without affecting the stock prices.

5. CONCLUSION

This paper introduces an approach by which it is used to determine the components of black-box of the market microstructure. This approach includes the trading mechanisms and regulations as the main components of market microstructure. Trading mechanisms determined by market type, price discovery, order forms and degree of transparency, whereas market regulations includes the rules of order priority, tick size and spread, listing, trading unit, price thresholds, trading status, short selling and off-market trading. Taking into account the components of market

microstructure is important for conducting research in market microstructure and it's related to other area of finance. More importantly as long as the research in market microstructure plays an important role in providing a necessary input to the issues of how to design and conduct the trading, therefore, taking into consideration the components of market microstructure is important for addressing such issues in future research and enhancing the design of markets as well as improving the trading strategies of individual investors.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We would like to thank the Universiti Sains Malaysia (USM) for offering USM Fellowship and the Institute of Postgraduate Studies (IPS) for everything they provide.

REFERENCES

- Amihud, Y., & Mendelson, H. (1987). Trading Mechanisms and Stock Returns: An Empirical Investigation. *The Journal of Finance*, 42(3), 533-553.
- Biais, B., Glosten, L., & Spatt, C. (2005). Market microstructure: A survey of microfoundations, empirical results, and policy implications. *Journal of Financial Markets*, 8 (2), 217-264.
- Boehmer, E., Jones, C. M., & Zhang, X. (2007). *Which Shorts are Informed?* : SSRN.
- Chang, R. P., Hsu, S.-T., Huang, N.-K., & Rhee, S. G. (1999). The Effects of Trading Methods on Volatility and Liquidity: Evidence from the Taiwan Stock Exchange. *Journal of Business Finance & Accounting*, 26 (1-2), 137-170.
- Chow, E. H., Lee, J.-H., & Shyy, G. (1996). Trading mechanisms and trading preferences on a 24-hour futures market: A case study of the Floor/GLOBEX switch on MATIF. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, 20 (10), 1695-1713.
- Comerton-Forde, C. (1999). Do trading rules impact on market efficiency? A comparison of opening procedures on the Australian and Jakarta Stock Exchanges. *Pacific-Basin Finance Journal*, 7 (5), 495-521.
- Comerton-Forde, C., & Rydger, J. (2004). *A Review of Stock Market Microstructure*. Sydney: Securities Industry Research Centre of Asia-Pacific.
- Comerton-Forde, C., & Rydger, J. (2006). The current state of Asia-Pacific stock exchanges: A critical review of market design. *Pacific-Basin Finance Journal*, 14 (1), 1-32.
- Harris, L. (2002). *Trading and Exchanges: Market Microstructure for Practitioners*: Oxford University Press.
- Hasbrouck, J. (2007). *Empirical Market Microstructure: The Institutions, Economics, and Econometrics of Securities Trading*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Madhavan, A. (2000). Market microstructure: A survey. *Journal of Financial Markets*, 3 (3), 205-258.
- O'Hara, M. (1995). *Market Microstructure Theory*, Blakwell Publishers, USA.
- O'Hara, M. (2001). *Overview: market structure issues in market liquidity*. Paper presented at the BIS workshop.

- Onnela, J.-P., Tyli, J., & Kaski, K. (2009). Tick size and stock returns. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications*, 388 (4), 441-454.
- Subrahmanyam, A. (1994). Circuit Breakers and Market Volatility: A Theoretical Perspective. *The Journal of Finance*, 49 (1), 237-254.
- Theissen, E. (1999). Floor Versus Screen Trading: Evidence from the German Stock Market [Electronic Version] from <http://ssrn.com/paper=200210>
- Wong, W. K., Liu, B., & Zeng, Y. (2009). Can price limits help when the price is falling? Evidence from transactions data on the Shanghai Stock Exchange. *China Economic Review*, 20 (1), 91-102.
- Xu, C. K. (2000). The microstructure of the Chinese stock market. *China Economic Review*, 11 (1), 79-97.