

An Empirical Analysis of Environmental Cost Accounting in Mining Companies in Jordan

Dr. Nawaf Samah Mohammad Thuneibat¹, Dr. Mithkal Hmoud Alqaraleh², Prof. Dr. Abdunaser Ibrahim Nour³

¹ Faculty of Business, Mutah University, Mu'tah, Jordan

² Faculty of Economics and Management Science, Universiti Sultan Zainal Abidin, 23100, Terengganu, Malaysia

³ Professor of Accounting, An-Najah National University, Omar Ibn Al-Khattab St., P.O. Box 7, Nablus, Palestine

Abstract: This research paper aims to spot the explanations for corporate implementation of environmental data in their inner decision-making processes. It highlights management practices and, in particular, cost management in resolving environmental issues. The study adopts an associate degree exploratory analysis approach. The analysis technique is based on field studies of nine mining industries in Jordan. The eco-efficiency theory braces this study to unravel intricacy in interviewing the managers, so the survey adopted a structured form, and some extra phone interviews were conducted. The findings divulge that environmental cost accounting data is qualified to shore up internal decision-making processes and external communication. It bridged the gap between company headquarters communication and concern perspective. The findings show low awareness of the connection between environmental and economic performance, a growing awareness of the positive relationships between environmental stance and the company image. The research is targeted at nine business organizations; thus, the results don't seem to be extended on the far side of the respondents. The study highlights the reasons for using the environmental data and the measured price of environmental area activities to investigate the explanations for decision-making within business organizations. It caters to boosting the effectiveness of ecological data and facilitates the diffusion of environmental accounting practices. The research identifies the grounds for applying environmental data in internal decision-making processes and the gaps in the logical adoption of ecological criteria. It highlights components supportive in tracing the pathways for additional analysis of environmental accounting practices.

Keywords: environmental cost accounting, internal decision-making processes, mining companies, eco-efficiency theory, Jordan.

約旦礦業公司環境成本核算的實證分析

摘要: 本研究報告旨在找出在內部決策過程中企業實施環境數據的解釋。它著重介紹了管理實踐,尤其是解決環境問題時的成本管理。該研究採用了副學士學位探索性分析方法。該分析技術基於約旦9個採礦業的現場研究。生態效率理論使這項研究在揭露管理者面試過程中解開了複雜性,因此該調查採用結構化形式,並進行了一些額外的電話採訪。研究結果表明,環境成本核算數據有資格支持內部決策過程和外部溝通。它揭示了公司總溝通與關注觀點之間的差距。調查結果表明,人們對環境與經濟績效之間聯繫的認識不高,對環境立場與公司形象之間的正向關係的認識也越來越高。該研究針對九個商業組織。從而,結果似乎並未擴展到受訪者的另一端。該研究強調了使用環境數據和環境活動價格的原因來調查商業組織內部決策的原因。它旨在提高生態數據的有效性,並促進環境核算方法的傳播。這項研究確定了在內部決策過程中應用環境數據的基礎,以及在邏輯採用生態標準方面的差距。它重點介紹了有助於追蹤環境會計實踐附加分析途徑的組件。

关键词: 環境成本會計, 內部決策流程, 礦業公司, 生態效率理論, 約旦。

Received (date): October, 9, 2020

About the authors: Dr. Nawaf Samah Mohammad Thuneibat, Faculty of Business, Mutah University, Mu'tah, Jordan; Dr. Mithkal Hmoud Alqaraleh, Faculty of Economics and Management Science, Universiti Sultan Zainal Abidin, 23100, Terengganu, Malaysia; Prof. Dr. Abdunaser Ibrahim Nour, Professor of Accounting, An-Najah National University, Omar Ibn Al-Khattab St., P.O. Box 7, Nablus, Palestine

E-mails: Nawaf Samah Mohammad Thuneibat, jordanawaf@mutah.edu.jo, nawaf@mutah.edu.jo; Mithkal Hmoud Alqaraleh, mithkalhmoud@yahoo.com; Abdunaser Ibrahim Nour, a.nour@najah.edu

Introduction

The rising environmental problems develop many responsible approaches to speak sustainability performance. Therefore manufacturing innovation in company reports produces moral and social, and ecological info [67]. It has been labeled as 'triple bottom line' by Slaper and Hall [1], or 'sustainability' coverage [2]. The movement towards integrating these problems is proved by the publication of many comprehensive corporate property reports supported by pointers like those of the worldwide Coverage Initiative [2]. However, some concern remains about the regional integration of the environmental management systems (EMS) with environmental coverage and environmental performance management [3], the completeness and quality of those reports [4], and the explanations why managers prepare them [5]. The method of developing key performance indicators (KPIs) for measuring property performance and how property KPIs square measure employed in decision-making, coming up with and performance management [6] is applied.

Environmental problems additionally affect internal and external audit processes [7], management control systems (MCS), and performance measures. Some direct links to MCS have been created in company social and environmental coverage [8], [9]. Management systems and provide chain management additionally answer calls, and many researchers focus their analysis on the environmental management systems [10], [11] and ecological offer chain management [12].

The paper is predicated on the concept that the environmental prices render environmental consequences of manager choices a lot of "visible." The aim is to spot the explanations for company adoptions of environmental info in their internal decision-making processes. The answers' main target helps analyze the role contend by accounting and price management tools in continuing towards the corporate property. The paper provides insights through field-based mostly analysis, filling the gap highlighted by the critical social and environmental accounting (SEA) students. This analysis is positioned during a broader framework of a study aiming at describing the evolution of value management, the diffusion of advanced management accounting, the amount of cost accounting sophistication [13], [14], [15] and therefore the level of usage in decision-making processes in firms operating in the Arabian nation [16]. It takes an admirer position on environmental accounting regarding the link between environmental performance and economic performance [8], [17]. It's supported the Eco-efficiency theory [18], [19] that claims that there's the chance to increase productivity and reduce prices

while up environmental performance.

The paper is organized as follows: the second section deepens the literature analysis. The research ways used square measure described; the fourth section presents the results and describes the findings. The ultimate section offers a discussion that pinpoints the most explanations and submits new ideas for additional analysis.

1 Literature Review

Critical social and environmental accounting investigates the utilization of management accounting among organizations. The hypothesis is that ecological accounting will be mobilized to encourage organizations to vary and scale back company un-sustainability. This attitude is referred to as "organizational change" debates on the effectuality and, therefore, environmental accounting. Some texts counsel that a "managerialism" application of accounting techniques may resolve the perceived crisis [20]. Alternative studies counsel that environmental accounting may be a necessary, however not spare step in reshaping company behavior [21], [22].

These studies have expressed accounting failure in delivering useful environmental change [23], [24]. Despite the absence of confidence in accounting to supply property structure actions, the authors are still "reluctant (and so unable) to counsel that accounting has no ability below any circumstances to effect changes on its own" [24]. Moreover, the "critical accounting scholars" highlight that the varied perspective and ancient metrics are untranslatable in describing environmental issues [23].

Another line of analysis concerning environmental accounting focuses on external ecological reporting. [25], [26] indicates that manufacturing property reports are efficacious because it provides a framework for linking economic, environmental, and social deciding to strategy. Thus, the rising environmental problems are thought-about relevant from a strategic purpose of reading [27], [28], [29]. In a significantly broad approach, environmental studies will support stakeholders United Nations agency to attenuate associate degree "intergenerational conflict" caused by their corporations' operations.

There is a massive space of studies that tries to explore problems associated with environmental revealing such as the characteristics of disclosers [30], [31], [32], what's being disclosed [33], [34] what ought to be disclosed [35], [36], and why voluntary disclosures are created [37], [38], [39], [40]. However, "the risk for the SEA students is that preoccupation in avoiding the ocean capture might sentence their discourse to be confined to the halls of academe" [41]. Parker [41]

pinpointed the requirement for a lot of qualitative analysis and inductive theorizing.

In seeking to reinforce the sensible impact of the ocean analysis, Owen [42] has thought-about relevant the special issue of Accounting, Auditing and Accountability Journal (AAAJ, Vol. 20, No. 3, 2007) dedicated to the subject of engagement with organizations in pursuit of improved property accounting and performance. The action-based study of developing a property coverage initiative [6] in associate degree Australian publicly closely-held water company is noteworthy. The authors are ready to illustrate associate degree encouraging outcome in terms of effecting organizational amendment within the color of associate degree increased coverage structure and, therefore, incorporating sustainability problems into decision-making. In a very similar positive perspective, [10], [43] determine the central role of Arabian Community's Eco-Management and Audit theme (EMAS) through associate degree interview primarily based study of varied intangible factors. Among a sample of Spanish companies, they explore the potential of EMS to boost environmental performance. At a similar time, they notice various EMS embedding mechanisms concerning neutral engagement, provision of coaching, and organizational learning aspects.

The studies by Albelda-Pérez et al. [10] and Álvarez-García [43] could also support the concept that a managerial contribution to the development of the ocean analysis is each necessary and inevitable if lecturers wish to possess any real influence on organizational observe. At the same time, a word of caution is essential. In fact, there has been very little proof of internal environmental performance indicators and ecological accountancy practices. The last decade has seen a relevant target the intersection between strategic choices and MCS [44]. The MCS contains a package or combination of controls [45]. It includes informatics rules in nature and is regarding goals, standards, measures, and variances: this represents a lot of ancient read of what MCS is.

Additionally to the normal pathway, there's the attitude associated with the organization's administration, which can be a lot of structurally, governance, or bureaucratically primarily based [45], so there's an internal control that's influenced by social aspects like culture and values [46]. For these reasons, social control accounting info has usually been related to two primary purposes: deciding and decision influencing-contracting. Alternative vital roles are coupled with management accounting: planning and coordination, attention directive, and legitimizing. During this context, [47], [48] examine, however, the environmental strategy is enforced in a giant energy manufacturing company

through the utilization of a broad-primarily based set of controls (MCS Package). They notice that MCS can reduce company operations' impact on the atmosphere with a broader accounting concept. The study re-proposed the connotation of the relationship between managerial accounting info and environmental performance. Recently alternative studies concerning the link between environmental performance and economic performance have been developed [49], supported the socio-economic theory called eco-efficiency [19], [50]. Eco-efficiency claims that it's attainable to increase productivity and therefore scale back prices while rising environmental performance [51], [52]. The longitudinal analysis conducted by Frances Hodgson Burnett and Hansen [49] indicates that within the electricity utility plants, proactive environmental management will scale back environmental prices. This study offers another part to support the utility's concept in developing an adequate environmental value management system.

One of today's management accounting issues is the disconnection between native measurements that managers and groups of the business units will influence and, therefore, the headquarters' succeeding organizational results and reports [53]. The need to travel on the far side the (classic) existing value management and accountancy topics and therefore the growing interest in environmental problems receptive inter-functional scientific interest in management accounting: to know the role compete by environmental accounting info in internal decision-making processes owing to appraisal and changes of methods. We tend to don't wish to foster a radical revision of typical accounting [51], but we tend to investigate environmental accountancy changes by analyzing practices.

These assumptions' crucial purpose is to introduce and implement environmental performance mensuration to market environmental problems, strategic choices, and operational ones. However, these social control ideas that aren't utterly accepted by practitioners and lecturers address the study of environmental management accounting tools that can enhance internal control systems. Environmental info is helpful if adopted to satisfy stakeholders' demand and consider the internal call processes (strategic decisions): the double attainable application will cause corporations' rising economic performance [54].

The empirical support for eco-efficiency is predicated on many case studies [55], [56] developed within the initial stage of this eco-efficiency theory. From this pathway of analysis, crucial aspects of typical management accounting emerge [57], such as:

- measuring virtually environmental costs;

- producing environmental performance appraisals;
- focusing on problems regarding environmental management accounting;
- Controlling externalities (external prices - ante and post values) made by producing activities.

Recent applied mathematics studies provide new helpful components for the analysis in step with the eco-efficiency approach. Al-Tuwaijri, Christensen & Hughes [58] examine a sample of 198 corporations from the quality and Poor's 500. The survey pinpoints the considerably positive relation between environmental performance and economic performance. Notably, it finds a statistically strong positive association between a toxicant-waste life (toxic waste recycled/total waste matter generated) and market returns. The eco-efficiency paradigm has relevant implications for environmental management accounting and environmental value management. It can be in line with Michael Porter's theoretical argument that innovative solutions will promote aggressiveness and environmental considerations [27], [29]. Therefore the innovative solutions developed to cut back pollution will, at the same time, encourage environmentalism and industrial competitiveness. This applied mathematics analysis supports the "voluntary" eco-efficiency theory [49] instead of the radio-controlled eco-efficiency theory. There's no specific regulatory act mandating a discount of waste matter for the corporations' sample.

However, the "guided" eco-efficiency theory finds an applied mathematics validation in another study [59] of ninety-two corporations in the sugar business in Asian countries. The results underline that the degree of technical potency will increase compliance with environmental laws and water conservation efforts. Such results align with Porter's hypothesis, and Murty and Kumar [59] counsel that this hypothesis will influence firm managers. The early evidence is moderately encouraging. However, there's still a lot to be done to understand higher the gaps in respect to value management, environmental accounting, and economic performance. More work is required to check the idea and point helpful and sufficiently many inclusive models of various info for management decision-making. Therefore, this study sheds light on the characteristics (advantages and disadvantages) of accountancy/value management innovations applied to environmental problems within the business call processes (e.g., new investments, new merchandise or services, etc.). Thus, it's centered on analyzing the possible dissemination, and evolution strategies of the melting of environmental

accounting tools in the organization and, therefore, the roles compete by environmental prices. The term environmental value, which is adopted here, describes personal or internal, or company environmental expenses. These are the prices that have an on the spot impact on a firm's bottom line. Instead, public or social or external environmental costs are atmosphere prices, social prices, economical prices, considering the society as an entire [53]. To date, trying to target higher chase and allocation of environmental costs among management accounting frameworks have preponderantly centered on private prices. It's harder to worth the external prices [60].

2. Methods/Materials

The study adopts an associate degree preliminary analysis approach relating to the explanations for using environmental cost accounting criteria in the manager's decision. The analysis technique is predicated on field studies of nine mining companies operating in Jordan. Interview managers were elect following these criteria:

- working in a very company that produces environmental reports,
- working in the crucial environmental sector,
- working in world corporations that communicate the adoption of environmental certification,
- working in world corporations that area unit socially and economically relevant for Jordan,

The study is supported by the eco-efficiency theory framework to spot the most variables. To unravel difficulties in interviewing the managers, the study adopted the theme of a questionnaire. The info gathered from victimization structured interviews helped (reduced problems) in creating comparisons and deciphering the findings. It was thought-about the foremost appropriate technique to supply answers helpful in corroborating the analysis queries due to the difficulties in gathering managers' responses. The problems mentioned in interviewing by corporation managers were similar. The following answer summarizes them: "the principle reason of our declination to the interview is that our company has made a close CSRR (or environmental report) and each possible answer you're longing for will be found in such reports."

For this reason, we tend to determine to select the queries and send them to the managers before asking them to verify their collaboration. The managers appreciated the utilized technique wherever they

delineated their accounting techniques and, therefore, the reasons for their environmental behaviors'. It was a breakthrough after many unsuccessful attempts with managers of mining industries. Our initial intention was to develop semi-structured interviews; but, the managers did not settle for the meeting while not clearly understanding the analysis topics and, therefore, the main questions. The form represents a pathway to providing proof of our analysis questions, reducing the risks of misunderstandings or incorrect respondents. The questionnaire's theme has principally supported the analysis of Thompson and Cowton [61], [62]. The subsequent main queries were enclosed within the questionnaire:

- Reasons for the utilization of environmental data (see conjointly, degrees of connection and influence),
- Reasons for the various approaches adopted in gathering environmental data managed within the organization (see sources of environmental data and relationship with alternative actors of provide chain),
- Managers' behaviors on internal environmental data (see why and UN agency uses environmental data),
- Reasons for the utilization of environmental prices.

Other findings were gathered with the form or with alternative external information base or depository sources to explain the background [63]:

- Corporate characteristics (firm size, sector market, organization structure),
- General discourse factors (headquarter country, etc.),
- Respondent characteristics (previous work experiences, studies, etc.).

The queries were usually closed-end, though' space was conjointly left for additional write-in comments. Since the analysis sought-after to find broad reasons, it was thought-about applicable to use the Likert five purpose scales running from one (indicating no importance) to five (indicating high priority).

In the summer of early 2013, the analysis started through phone contacts containing a brief presentation of the project. Therefore, it was perceived if the managers may facilitate gathering environmental information. During this part, we tend to speak with the assistant of the manager typically. Then we interviewed the manager and took note of the answers to grasp the corporate's environmental perspective. Afterward, an associate degree e-mail was sent with the form. When the

respondents are stuffed within the state, we tend to check the answers, and that we phoned once more to perceive the answers better or elucidate some queries. We tend to warranted namelessness since the data gathered is very important for internal deciding and significant with stakeholders owing to the external communication perspective.

Table 1 Frequency in Using Environmental Costs in Internal Decisions

Scale	Codes of interviewed business organizations	No
5	AA	1
3	BB	2
4	CC	3
5	DD	4
3	EE	5
4	FF	6
3	GG	7
5	HH	8
4	FF	9

In the end, nine business organizations made helpful findings. The organizations operate principally in mining sectors, but 2 of those units area unit very shut to the activity developed by corporations of the utility sector (energy - gas). Consistent with the classification of technology degree, the organizations belong to the medium-high- technology industries and the medium-low-technology industries. The organizations' area unit classifiable in divisions (or business units) and producing corporations (Table 1). The respondents' area unit principally high managers of those organizations may be thought-about conjointly middle managers within worldwide corporations' structure hierarchy [64]. They're mostly employed within the same company (7 to nine respondents), and their cultural background is primarily technical-engineering (7 to nine respondents).

The smallest interviewed organization utilized solely four hundred individuals (a plant); the most important had thirty-four, 500 workers (a group's leading company). Consistent with the turnover, the unit respondents' scale floats from five hundred million JD to thirty,000 million JD. Finally, all four world companies area unit quoted within the securities market, and 3 of them have their headquarters in Jordan, and therefore the alternative one is found outside Jordan.

3. Results and Discussion

The findings, supplemented by material from over the phone interviews, shall be bestowed in 3 subsections: the

explanations why environmental reports influence the decision-making of management in the business organizations, the ecological data addressed within the decision-making company processes; the reasons for the victimization of ecological prices.

The findings are arranged on a scale running from one (no importance) to five (high importance) in the following tables. However, for a few queries, it's attainable to possess solely a restricted variety of answers attributable to the likelihood of respondents ticking the box I don't recognize - no answer.

The explanations behind incorporating environmental criteria into the decision-making processes

The first theme is analyzed following three main questions: the degree of influence of environmental reports on management decision-making, the explanations for incorporating environmental criteria to pick out investments, and the level of importance sometimes given to environmental attributes.

The majority of respondents (six of the nine business organizations) provide satisfactory importance (Rank 4) to environmental reports in their decision-making method. Whereas the organization "HH" gives a medium scale judgment (Rank 3), and "EE" marks the lower conclusion (Rank 2). All the managers add a gaggle wherever the formal company communication policy incorporates environmental thought. The managers must show circumspection concerning the relevance of environmental reports in decision-making. This circumspection comes out, and it's not a novelty. It confirms the severe reservations projected by Owen [42]. The United Nations agency underlines that the earlier studies regarding company social responsibility tend to focus on initiatives regarding company image rather than a commitment to transparency and answerableness [5], with very little proof of changes in organizational priorities [24], [7].

Table 2 Reasons for Incorporating Environmental Criteria into the Decision-Making Processes

Respondents	Scale					Reasons
9	5	4	3	2	1	
9	3	4	2	0	0	Avoid or mitigate environmental liabilities
9	3	2	3	1	0	Manage environmental risk
9	2	4	2	0	1	Comply with legislation
9	2	5	2	0	0	Comply with regulatory requirements
9	2	6	1	0	0	Protect customer investments (reduce the degree of risks)

9	1	4	3	0	1	Protect customer profitability
9	1	3	4	1	0	Form part of broad ethical stance
9	0	6	2	1	0	Enhance company's image
9	1	7	1	0	0	Gain market advantage

However, the findings from the opposite two queries highlight a different advanced interpretation. The best rank of the dimensions (Rank 5) is additional overtimes chosen. The most reasons for incorporating environmental criteria in selecting investments (Table 2) are adjusting to legislation and adjusting to restrictive necessities. Then forthwith when returning the following reasons: avoid or mitigate environmental liabilities and manage environmental risk. The data show that little or no thought is given to ecological data to realize market advantage and profit analysis. It results in step with expectations derived from the literature review. That's to mention, middle managers of business organizations adopt a narrow read of the connection regarding environmental problems for their investment selections. Two elements are impressive: the primary concerns the expansion in awareness of the positive relation between environmental stance and company image (but not company competitiveness). The second side is that the respondents provide an equivalent connection to obliging with restrictive requirements like legislation. It can impact the growing diffusion of environmental management systems, specific sectors, or markets. However, one respondent makes an additional associate comment to clarify his position: his word was that the most reason for incorporating environmental criteria in choosing investments was the company environmental policy and instructions.

Related to the parliamentary procedure, the respondents were asked the amount of importance sometimes given to environmental attributes once analyzing their company methods in new investment, new products, a new set of costs, etc. The intent was to any explore the choice-creating method. The list tried to find the explicit options the managers take under consideration. The positive aspect of analyzing the answers was that the scores for many listed attributes were relatively high (Table 3).

Table 3 Importance Given to Environmental Attributes when Analyzing Company Strategies

Respondents	Scale					Features
9	5	4	3	2	1	
9	2	4	2	1	0	Presence of known and likely future environmental control standards

9	2	4	2	1	0	Environmental liability insurance
9	4	3	1	0	1	High standard of environmental awareness
9	4	2	2	1	0	Presence of environmental audit
9	2	5	1	1	0	Presence of environmental control unit
9	2	3	2	1	1	Use of cleaner technology
9	1	5	2	1	0	Minimize energy / material consumption
9	0	6	2	1	0	EU Eco-Management and Audit scheme
9	2	5	1	1	0	Presence of recycling policies of its products

These scores square measure coherent with the answers to the second question. The most attribute is that the presence of ordinarily identified and sure future environmental management standards. The findings conjointly highlight that the respondents thought of the relationship between potency and environment significant: if truth be told, the explanation minimize energy/material consumption comes straight after the primary attribute.

A positive connection is given to the presence of an environmental management unit's company and the use of cleaner technology. These findings validate the concept that at the operational level, the organization fosters awareness within the necessity to develop cleaner technologies and confirm the diffusion of environmentally-minded data systems to assemble information.

The environmental info addressed within the decision-making processes

The second theme is analyzed following two main questions: why environmental info is managed inside the precise organization by analyzing the various sources of information regarding environmental factors referred to by WHO in its ecological reports. As to data sources used, the respondents were asked to point; however, typically, they use different sources of data once creating a call wherever environmental factors area unit significant.

Adopting the lists of sources provided by Bret Harte, Lewis, and Owen [65] and Thompson and Cowton [61], slight changes were developed within the list of references urged to respondents. The findings are presented in Table 4. Within the analysis of the foremost often used sources, the likelihood of identifying two main sets of sources: internally managed associate

degreed outwardly created is seen as an exciting purpose.

Table 4 Information Sources Used

Respondents	Scale					Sources
	5	4	3	2	1	
9	5	4	3	2	1	Published annual company reports and accounts
9	2	4	2	1	0	
9	2	4	2	1	0	Information obtained on company (competitors / partners) visits
9	4	3	1	0	1	Information from interviews with partner representatives
9	4	2	2	1	0	Internal records created in previous Experience
9	2	5	1	1	0	Three and six monthly company financial reports
9	2	3	2	1	1	Press reports
9	1	5	2	1	0	Industry data and reports
9	0	6	2	1	0	Independent asset valuations
9	2	5	1	1	0	Credit assessments from credit rating agencies
9	1	3	2	1	2	Company filings with Stock Exchange

The internally managed sources are on-line, internet, knowledge sources, and internal records created in previous expertise. Instead, the outwardly formed sources are business and trade journals, published annual company reports and accounts, trade knowledge & reports, and press reports. The findings highlight a relatively low connotation of environmental info returning from different companies (partners or competitors). This result's coherent with the low level of interest in environmental problems within the competitive initiatives and methods. The facet ought to be additionally analyzed since there's a robust trend in developing networks as a replacement thanks to generating merchandise and services for the world firms. In other words, we tend to marvel. However, a worldwide company will effectively improve the environmental problems while not making a robust commitment with the opposite actors of its network (or provide chain).

Another necessary component is the low frequency of ticked boxes with five (Rank 5 means that "widely used"). These answers given by managers underline that those sources' employment isn't systematic in their decision-making processes because of the production of CSRR.

The second question asked respondents to specify the connotation attributed to the current environmental info

and the list of potential info sources. During this approach, it's possible to pinpoint managers' behaviors concerning internal environmental info flow. The findings make sure the usual resistance to vary and also the division between environmental managers (or middle managers facing environmental issues) and controllers (or accountants) (Adams et al., 2008). The results (Table 5) will be summarized in a very ranking wherever, at the primary level, the operational managers typically thought-about the employment of environmental info in their decision-making very helpful. The second level is spoken divisional managers, then corporate managers (with an overall rank of 3), internal auditors, and, finally, controllers. Cost management features a ton to supply in raising the potency of victimization resources and lowering waste. However, several middle managers have restricted facilitate kind environmental value info.

Table 5 Frequency in using environmental information

Respondents	Scale					Management levels
	5	4	3	2	1	
9	2	4	2	1	0	Corporate managers
9	2	4	2	1	0	Divisional managers
9	4	3	1	0	1	Operational managers
9	4	2	2	1	0	Internal auditors
9	2	5	1	1	0	Controllers

The explanations for victimization environmental prices

Finally, the eco-efficiency theory's validity would entail the requirement of severe modifications to the approach current accountancy and news is finished. The eco-efficiency paradigm ought to need two sorts of info to develop internal deciding [49]: physical information about uses and flows of materials, water, energy, wastes and financial info about environmental prices, earnings, and savings. Some students underline [66] that standard accounting systems generally underestimate internal ecological costs. Moreover, most firms lack adequate systems for measurement and managing environmental costs [57]. Therefore, the supply and use of a more comprehensive accounting information set relative to production's economic consequences is a vital task. This final theme is analyzed by following three main questions: environmental value information in manager deciding processes; however, ecological prices area unit measured and why environmental prices area unit used.

The findings concerning the employment of environmental prices underline a relatively high sensitivity concerning value info work. The rank ne'er goes beneath scale three. The results seem to travel in a very different pathway compared to those of recent literature. However, behind the answers to the current

question, there's an additional complicated framework.

Table 6 Strategies of Measurement Environmental Prices (Scale runs from one "no use" to five "widely used.")

Notes	ABC- (Activity- Based Cost accounting)	Full costing (Functional -Based Cost Accounting)	Codes of interviewed Business organizations	N
	1	3	AA	1
Elementary cost data	No answer	No answer	BB	2
	5	1	CC	3
	4	5	DD	4
	2	3	EE	5
	4	4	FF	6
	4	3	GG	7
	2	5	HH	8
	3	4	FF	9

The other two queries of the third section highlight different problems. The results (Table 6) show the measured environmental costs highlight a right choice of behaviors locomote from elementary value knowledge technique to other complicated systems wherever each the complete cost accounting technique (Functional-Based Value Accounting) and Activity-Based value technique (Activity-Based Value Accounting) area unit used. Some issues arise within the analysis of the answers of managers "DD" and "FF" wherever each of the two classic strategies (FC and ABC) area unit thought-about helpful. It's not clear if the companies use hybrid full cost accounting activity-based cost accounting strategies or each.

This third section's final question highlights environmental prices' victimization to supply money info to management concerning environmental impact [44]. Adopting the list of manager activities provided by Langfield-Smith [44], essential changes were developed within the plan. The findings are presented in Table 9. Within the analysis of the most frequent manager choices, the following activities area unit of serious interest: environmentally-induced capital expenditures (e.g., capital investment choices required to follow with regulations) and rising performance live systems with environmental prices (e.g., cost of waste management systems, environmental coaching, legal activities and fines). The trouble in integrating technical and environmental performance with economic measures is apparent, and a positive connotation is given to the environmental prices

"standard prices and budgeting." These results are coherent with the answers regarding environmental info, strategic choices, and environmental attributes.

Table 7 Reasons for victimization environmental prices to boost manager activities

Respondents	Scale					Reasons
	5	4	3	2	1	
9	5	4	3	2	1	
9	2	4	2	1	0	Inventory valuations
9	2	4	2	1	0	Improving system performance activities with environmental costs (e.g., cost of waste management systems, environmental training, legal actions, and fines)
9	4	3	1	0	1	Standard costs and budgeting
9	4	2	2	1	0	Planning tools (e.g. breakeven)
9	2	5	1	1	0	Tactical and input decisions (e.g. make or buy)
9	2	3	2	1	1	Environmentally-induced capital expenditures
9	1	5	2	1	0	Environmentally-induced revenues and/or intangible benefits

The results gathered will be higher, considering that the respondents are principally engineers, and their activities aren't well integrated with the controller ones. It is relatively frequent in Arabian countries and attributable to the standard read of the link between environmental performance and economic performance that preserves restricted incentives for dynamical the present system of managing, accounting, and news. Company accountants manage the problems on company value; instead, initiatives in reducing pollution and increasing potency are managed by middle managers, UN agencies, engineers, or alternative technical and scientific staff. These old organizational rules and value accounting practices are typically not capable of validating the Porter Hypothesis: pollution corresponds to economic unskillfulness.

Conclusion

This study investigates the characteristics (advantages and disadvantages) and applications of internal environmental performance indicators and ecological costs inside Arabian countries' business organizations. The setting is of explicit interest because it reports managers' answers within the business decision-making processes (e.g., new investments, new products or

services, etc.) and environmental data. Environmental reports influence the decision-making of management within the business organizations are quite connected with the impact of growing diffusion of environmental management systems above all sectors or markets. Increasing awareness within the positive relation between the ecological stance and company image (but not company competitiveness) is thought to be attention-grabbing behaviors. The second positive side is that the respondents provide an identical connection to benefit regulative necessities like legislation. The results concerning environmental data and the means within which the information is managed in the decision-making company processes will generate vital implications. The most used sources will be chiefly classified into two sources: internally managed and outwardly produced. The internally managed sources are on-line, internet, information sources, and internal records created in previous expertise; these results mean that the world corporations and the single business units try to develop competencies and ability specifically connected with their environmental activities. In these business units, it'd be attention-grabbing to grasp the importance of external consultants' role contends. The high rankings given to revealed annual reports and alternative completely different external sources like press reports, business and trade journals, and so on, cause the question concerning the transparency, telling, and quality of this external information. These components will play a crucial role in creating managers and the final connection of environmental data in their decision-making processes.

Another relevant component of the study is that price management probably offers qualified information to boost potency and profitability analysis. Therefore, price management could enrich decision-making processes with relevant data through the vital roles of coordination, attention guiding, and legitimizing. The results revive the potential to facilitate price data and innovative price management practices in decision-making. These findings are confirmed within the last section of the form analyzed during this paper, where the environmental prices are measured; however, the degree of sophistication and answerableness of the flow of price data created isn't apparent. However, the hassle of integrating technical and environmental performance with economic measures is quite clear. A positive connection is given to environmental prices at average prices and budgeting. These findings are coherent with the answers given regarding environmental data, strategic decisions, and environmental attributes (Table 3). Ecological costs are thought of as essential to reduce the employment of resources and cut back environmental

risks.

The findings cause many queries. However, managers can have interaction in eco-efficient behavior. We support the thought that the eco-efficiency approach wants the essential regulative role to mandate pollution reductions [49]. It means not solely to cut back the pressure exerted by stockholders and money markets to extend profits, however conjointly, resulting from these activities facilitating defining the state of affairs. The event and diffusion of environmental standards within the world will partially cut back the uncertainty of investment in technology, manufacture Associate in Nursing equal taking part in field for changes, facilitate in-process internal and external environmental prices and leave management to absolve to innovate and realize ways in which of at the same time rising potency, reducing prices, and achieving the mandated reductions.

Two limitations require to be mentioned concerning the analysis. Firstly, the study is concentrated on a restricted range of business organizations sensitive to environmental problems and implement environmental metrics, so the results aren't statistically essential. They should not be extended on the far side, the only cases. Secondly, the tactic adopted the duty-bound U.S. to pick a restricted range of aspects (reasons, attributes, judgments) gap the trail to a broader analysis of environmental performance practices.

In this sense, however, we tend to supply new evidence to boost the data on problems developed by alternative studies that have attempted to assess the managerial approach's effectiveness in finding environmental issues.

References

- [1] SLAPER, T. F., & HALL, T. J. The triple bottom line: What is it and how does it work. *Indiana business review*, 2011, 86(1) <http://web.mit.edu/afs.new/athena/course/2/2.813/www/readings/TripleBottomLine.pdf>
- [2] INITIATIVE, G. R.. Sustainability reporting guidelines, Version 3.0. 2006, Amsterdam: GRI.
- [3] ADAMS, C.A., BURRITT R.L. and FROST, G.R., "ARC Discovery project." In: *Proceedings of the 2nd Italian Conference on Social and Environmental Accounting Research, Rimini, September 17-19, 2008*. Bologna: University of Bologna, 2008:34-45.
- [4] ADAMS, C. A. The ethical, social, and environmental reporting-performance portrayal gap. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, 2004, 17(5):731-757. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09513570410567791>
- [5] O'DWYER, B. Managerial perceptions of corporate social disclosure: an Irish story. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, 2002, 15(3): 406-436. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09513570210435898>
- [6] ADAMS C.A. and FROST, G.R. Integrating sustainability reporting into management practices. *Accounting Forum*, 2008, 32(4):288-302 [doi:10.1016/j.accfor.2008.05.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.accfor.2008.05.002).
- [7] O'DWYER, B., & OWEN, D. L. Assurance statement practice in environmental, social and sustainability reporting: a critical evaluation. *The British Accounting Review*, 2005, 37(2):205-229. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bar.2005.01.005>
- [8] HENRI, J. F., & JOURNEAULT, M. Eco-control: The influence of management control systems on environmental and economic performance. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 2010, 35(1): 63-80. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aos.2009.02.001>
- [9] SUNDIN, H., & BROWN, D. A. Greening the black box: integrating the environment and management control systems. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, 2017, 30(3): 620-642. <https://doi.org/10.1108/AAAJ-03-2014-1649>
- [10] ALBELDA-PÉREZ, E., CORREA-RUIZ, C. and CARRASCO-FENECH, F. Environmental management systems as an embedding mechanism: a research note", *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, 2007, 20(3): 403-422. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09513570710748562>
- [11] LONGONI, A., LUZZINI, D., & GUERCI, M. Deploying environmental management across functions: the relationship between green human resource management and green supply chain management. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 2018, 151(4): 1081-1095. <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10551-016-3228-1>
- [12] LIU, Y., SRAI, J. S., & EVANS, S. Environmental management: the role of supply chain capabilities in the auto sector. *Supply Chain Management: An International Journal*. 2016, 21(1):1-19. <https://doi.org/10.1108/SCM-01-2015-0026>
- [13] BAIRD, K.M., HARRISON, G.L. and REEVE, R.C. Adoption of activity management practices: a note on the extent of adoption and the influence of organizational and cultural factors. *Management Accounting Research*, 2004, 15(4):383-399. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mar.2004.07.002>
- [14] AL-OMIRI, M., and DRURY, C. A survey of factors influencing the choice of product costing systems in UK organizations", *Management Accounting Research*, 2007, 18(4): 399-424. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mar.2007.02.002>
- [15] CINQUINI, L., & TENUCCI, A. Strategic management accounting and business strategy: a loose coupling? *Journal of Accounting & Organizational Change*, 2010, 6(2): 228-259 <https://doi.org/10.1108/18325911011048772>
- [16] CINQUINI L., COLLINI P., MARELLI A., and TENUCCI A. An exploration of factors affecting the diffusion of Advanced Costing techniques: a comparative analysis of two surveys (1996-2005). In: *Proceedings of the 31st Annual Congress of the European Accounting Association, Rotterdam, April 23-25, 2008*. Brussels: EAA. <https://mpr.ub.uni-muenchen.de/id/eprint/10911>
- [17] WIDODO, H. Economic performance and factors affecting the context of socio-economic, environmental accounting (SEEA). In: *1st International Conference on Intellectuals' Global Responsibility (ICIGR 2017)*. Amsterdam: Atlantis Press, 2018: 10-14 <https://doi.org/10.2991/icigr-17.2018.3>

- [18] BALL, P., & LUNT, P. Lean eco-efficient innovation in operations through the maintenance organization. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 2018: 107091. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2018.07.007>
- [19] WANG, X., & XIAO, Z. Regional eco-efficiency prediction with Support Vector Spatial Dynamic MIDAS. *Journal of cleaner production*, 2017, 161:165-177. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.05.077>
- [20] GORISSEN, L., VRANCKEN, K., & MANSHOVEN, S. Transition thinking and business model innovation—towards a transformative business model and a new role for Limburg, Belgium's reuse centers. *Sustainability*, 2017, 8(2):112. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su8020112>
- [21] BEBBINGTON, J. Engagement, education, and sustainability: a review essay on environmental accounting," *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, 1997, 10(3): 365-381. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09513579710178115>
- [22] MOHAMMAD, N. Need to implement environmental accounting education for sustainable development: an overview. *World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology*, 2012, 63: 900-907. <https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/ada5/9ed5851e4250178c6d3cd193aeaa64b220d0.pdf>
- [23] BOYD, J., & BANZHAF, S. What are ecosystem services? The need for standardized environmental accounting units. *Ecological economics*, 2007, 63(2-3), 616-626. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2007.01.002>
- [24] LARRINAGA-GONZALEZ, C. and BEBBINGTON, J. Accounting change or institutional appropriation? A case study of the implementation of environmental accounting. *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*, 2001, 12: 269-292. <https://doi.org/10.1006/cpac.2000.0433>
- [25] WHITE G. How to report a company's sustainability activities. *Management Accounting Quarterly*, 2005, 7(1): 36-43.
- [26] BISWAS, S., & O'GRADY, W. Using external environmental reporting to embed sustainability into organizational practices. *Accounting Research Journal*, 2016 29(2):218-235 <https://doi.org/10.1108/ARJ-04-2015-0063>
- [27] PORTER, M. E., & VAN DER LINDE, C. Toward a new conception of the environment-competitiveness relationship. *Journal of economic perspectives*, 1995, 9(4), 97-118.
- [28] WEISS, J., STEPHAN, A., & ANISIMOVA, T. Well-designed environmental regulation and firm performance: Swedish evidence on the Porter hypothesis and the effect of regulatory time strategies. *Journal of Environmental Planning and Management*, 2019, 62(2), 342-363. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09640568.2017.1419940>
- [29] RAMANATHAN, R., HE, Q., BLACK, A., GHOBADIAN, A., & GALLEAR, D. Environmental regulations, innovation and firm performance: A revisit of the Porter hypothesis. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 2017, 155: 79-92. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2016.08.116>
- [30] HACKSTON, D. and MILNE, M. J. Some determinants of social and environmental disclosures in New Zealand companies. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, 1996, 9(1): 77–108. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09513579610109987>
- [31] LIU, X., & ANBUMOZHI, V. Determinant factors of corporate environmental information disclosure: an empirical study of Chinese listed companies. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 2009, 17(6): 593-600. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2008.10.001>
- [32] GIANNARAKIS, G., ANDRONIKIDIS, A., & SARIANNIDIS, N. Determinants of environmental disclosure: investigating new and conventional corporate governance characteristics. *Annals of Operations Research*, 2019, 294(1):1-19. <https://www.cdp.net/en>.
- [33] LOKUWADUGE, C. S. D. S., & HEENETIGALA, K. Integrating environmental, social and governance (ESG) disclosure for a sustainable development: An Australian study. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 2017, 26(4): 438-450. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.1927>
- [34] DE VILLIERS, C. and VAN STADEN, C.J. Can less environmental disclosure have a legitimizing effect? Evidence from Africa. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 2006, 31(8):763- 781. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aos.2006.03.001>
- [35] DEEGAN, C. Introduction: the legitimizing effect of social and environmental disclosures theoretical foundation. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, 2002, 15(3):282– 311. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09513570210435852>
- [36] MONEVA, J., ARCHEL, P. and CORREA, C. GRI and the camouflaging of corporate unsustainability. *Accounting Forum*, 2006, 30: 121–137. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.accfor.2006.02.001>
- [37] NEU, D., WARSAME, H. and PEDWELL, K. Managing public impressions: environmental disclosures in annual reports. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 1998, 23(3): 265- 282. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0361-3682\(97\)00008-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0361-3682(97)00008-1)
- [38] WALDEN, W.D. and SCHWARTZ, B.N. Environmental disclosures and public policy pressure. *Journal of Accounting and Public Policy*, 1997, 16(2):125-154. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0278-4254\(96\)00015-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0278-4254(96)00015-4)
- [39] D'AMICO, E., COLUCCIA, D., FONTANA, S., & SOLIMENE, S. Factors influencing corporate environmental disclosure. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 2016, 25(3): 178-192. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.1865>
- [40] FUENTE, J. A., GARCÍA-SANCHEZ, I. M., & LOZANO, M. B. The role of the board of directors in the adoption of GRI guidelines for the disclosure of CSR information. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 2017, 141: 737-750. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2016.09.155>
- [41] PARKER, L. D. Social and environmental accountability research. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*. 2005, 18: 842-860. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09513570510627739>
- [42] OWEN, D. Chronicles of wasted time? A personal reflection on the current state of, and future prospects for, social and environmental accounting research. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*. 2008, 21(2):240-267.
- [43] ÁLVAREZ-GARCÍA, J., DEL RÍO, M. D. L. C., SARAIVA, M., & PIRES, A. R. The influence of motivations and barriers in the benefits. An empirical study of EMAS certified business in Spain. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 2018, 185(1): 62-74. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.03.023>

- [44] LANGFIELD-SMITH, K. What do we know about Management Control Systems and Strategy? In: CHAPMAN C.S. (Ed.) *Controlling Strategy: Management, Accounting and Performance Measurement*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2005 (pp.62-85).
- [45] ABERNETHY, M. A., & CHUA, W. F. A field study of control system "redesign": the impact of institutional processes on strategic choice. *Contemporary Accounting Research*, 1996, 13(2): 569-606. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1911-3846.1996.tb00515.x>
- [46] ALVESSON, M., KARREMAN, D. Interfaces of control. Technocratic and socio-ideological control in a global management consultancy firm. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 2004, 29: 423-444. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0361-3682\(03\)00034-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0361-3682(03)00034-5)
- [47] BAKER, M., BROWN, D. and MALMI, T. Implementing environmental strategy with an MCS package. *School of Accounting Working Paper Series 78, School of Accounting*. Sydney: UTS, April 2006.
- [48] BUTTERFIELD, E. Managerial decision-making and management accounting information. Master's Degree Thesis. Helsinki: Helsinki Metropolia University of Applied Sciences, 2016. <http://urn.fi/URN:NBN:fi:amk-201603283589>
- [49] BURNETT, R. D., & HANSEN, D. R. Eco-efficiency: Defining a role for environmental cost management. *Accounting, organizations and society*, 2008, 33(6): 551-581. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aos.2007.06.002>
- [50] AVSEC, S., & KAUČIČ, B. (2018). Eco-efficient decision support model of solid waste recycling. *Environmental Engineering & Management Journal (EEMJ)*, 17(5). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.05.077>
- [51] BEBBINGTON J. and GRAY R. An account of sustainability: failure, success and a reconceptualization, *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*, 2001, 12:557-587. https://www.st-andrews.ac.uk/media/csear/discussion-papers/CSEAR_dps-sustain-accsus.pdf
- [52] LEHMAN, G. Global accountability and sustainability: Research Prospects. *Accounting Forum*, 2002, 26(3-4): 219-232. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-6303.00087>
- [53] SCHALTEGGER S. and BURRITT R. Contemporary environmental accounting issues, concepts and practice. Sheffield: Greenleaf Publishing Limited, 2000. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ijjshe.2001.2.3.288.1>
- [54] GARZA-REYES, J. A., KUMAR, V., CHAIKITTISILP, S., & TAN, K. H. The effect of lean methods and tools on the environmental performance of manufacturing organizations. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 2018, 200:170-180. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2018.03.030>
- [55] GUENSTER, N., BAUER, R., DERWALL, J., & KOEDIJK, K. The economic value of corporate eco-efficiency. *European Financial Management*, 2011, 17(4):679-704. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-036X.2009.00532.x>
- [56] DE SIMONE, L. D. and POPOFF, F. Eco-efficiency: The business link to sustainable development. Cambridge, Massachusetts: MIT Press, 1997.
- [57] BURRITT R. L. Environmental management accounting: Roadblocks on the way to the green and pleasant land. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 2004, 13:13-32. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.379>
- [58] AL-TUWAIJRI, A. S., CHRISTENSEN, T. E. and HUGHES, K. E. The relations among environmental disclosure, environmental performance, and economic performance: a simultaneous equations approach. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 2004:29: 447-471. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0361-3682\(03\)00032-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0361-3682(03)00032-1)
- [59] MURTY, M. N. and KUMAR, S. Win-win opportunities and environmental regulation: testing of the porter hypothesis for Indian manufacturing industries. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 2003, 67:139-144. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0301-4797\(02\)00203-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0301-4797(02)00203-7)
- [60] GRAY, C. Absorptive capacity, knowledge management and innovation in entrepreneurial small firms. *International Journal of Entrepreneurial Behavior & Research*, 2006, 12(6). <https://doi.org/10.1108/13552550610710144>
- [61] THOMPSON P. and COWTON C. Bringing the environment into bank lending: implications for environmental reporting. *The British Accounting Review*, 2004, 36: 197-218. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bar.2003.11.005>
- [62] WYCHERLEY I. Environmental managers and accounting. *Journal of Applied Management Studies*, 1997, 6(2): 169-176.
- [63] ADAMS, C. A. Internal organizational factors influencing corporate social and ethical reporting. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, 2002, 15(2):223-250. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09513570210418905>
- [64] DAFT R.L. New era of management. 2nd ed., Boston: Thomson South-Western, 2008.
- [65] HARTE, G., LEWIS, L. and OWEN, D. Ethical investment and the corporate reporting function. *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*, 1991, 2(3):227-253. [https://doi.org/10.1016/1045-2354\(91\)90012-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/1045-2354(91)90012-3)
- [66] JOSHI, M. L., MARIN, O., CHARON, O., & DUGUE, J. U.S. Patent No. 6,241,514. Washington, DC: U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, 2001.
- [67] SUWARNO S., RAHMAWATI R., DJUMINAH D., MUTHMAINAH M., and KUNCARA W. A. Is Corporate Social Responsibility Disclosure Good for Accrual Profit and Real Manipulative Profit Managements? *Journal of Southwest Jiaotong University*, 2020, 55(2). <http://jsju.org/index.php/journal/article/view/581>

參考文:

- [1] SLAPER, T. F. 和 HALL, T. J. 三重底線：這是什麼以及如何工作。印第安納州商業評論 2011, 86 (1) <http://web.mit.edu/afs.new/athena/course/2/2.813/www/readings/TripleBottomLine.pdf>
- [2] INITIATIVE, G. R. 《可持續發展報告指南》，第3.0版。2006，阿姆斯特丹：GRI。
- [3] ADAMS, C.A., BURRITT R.L. 和 FROST, G.R.，ARC Discovery 項目”。在：第2屆意大利社會與環境會計研究意大利會議論文集，里米尼，2008 年9月17-19日。博洛尼亞：博洛尼亞大學，2008：34-45。

- [4] ADAMS, C. A. 道德, 社會和環境報告績效表現方面的差距。會計, 審計與責任雜誌, 2004, 17 (5) : 731-757。
<https://doi.org/10.1108/09513570410567791>
- [5] O'DWYER, B. 企業社會披露的管理觀念：愛爾蘭的故事。會計, 審計與責任雜誌, 2002, 15 (3) : 406-436。
<https://doi.org/10.1108/09513570210435898>
- [6] ADAMS C. A. 和 FROST, G.R. 將可持續發展報告整合到管理實踐中。會計論壇, 2008, 32 (4) : 288-302 doi : 10.1016 /j.accfor.2008.05.002。
- [7] O'DWYER, B. 和 OWEN, D. L. 在環境, 社會和可持續性報告中的保證聲明實踐：一項重要評估。英國會計評論, 2005, 37 (2) : 205-229。
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bar.2005.01.005>
- [8] HENRI, J. F. 和 JOURNEAULT, M. 生態控制：管理控制系統對環境和經濟績效的影響。會計, 組織與社會, 2010, 35 (1) : 63-80。
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aos.2009.02.001>
- [9] SUNDIN, H. 和 BROWN, D. A. 綠化黑匣子：整合環境和管理控制系統。會計, 審計與責任雜誌, 2017, 30 (3) : 620-642。
<https://doi.org/10.1108/AAAJ-03-2014-1649>
- [10] E.ALBELDA-PÉREZ, C. CORREA-RUIZ 和 F. CARRASCO-FENECH. 作為嵌入機制的環境管理系統：研究筆記”, 《會計, 審計與責任雜誌》, 2007, 20 (3) : 403-422。
<https://doi.org/10.1108/09513570710748562>
- [11] LONGONI, A., LUZZINI, D. 和 GUERCI, M. 跨職能部署環境管理：綠色人力資源管理與綠色供應鏈管理之間的關係。商業道德雜誌, 2018, 15 1 (4) : 1081-1095。
<https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10551-016-3228-1>
- [12] LIU, Y., SRAI, J. S. 和 EVANS, S. 環境管理：供應鏈能力在汽車領域的作用。供應鏈管理：國際期刊, 2016, 21 (1) : 1-19。
<https://doi.org/10.1108/SCM-01-2015-0026>
- [13] BAIRD, K. M., HARRISON, G. L. 和 REEVE, R. C. 採用活動管理慣例：關於採用程度以及組織和文化因素影響的說明。管理會計研究, 2004, 15 (4) : 383-399。
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mar.2004.07.002>
- [14] AL-OMIRI, M. 和 DRURY, C. 英國組織中影響產品成本核算系統選擇的因素調查”, 管理會計研究, 2007, 18 (4) : 399-424。
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mar.2007.02.002>
- [15] CINQUINI, L. 和 TENUCCI, A. 戰略管理會計和業務戰略：松耦合？會計與組織變革學報 2010, 6 (2) : 228-259
<https://doi.org/10.1108/18325911011048772>
- [16] CINQUINI L., COLLINI P., MARELLI A. 和 TENUCCI A. 探索影響高級成本核算技術傳播的因素：兩項調查的比較分析 (1996-2005)。在：歐洲會計協會第31屆年度大會論文集, 鹿特丹, 2008年4月23日至25日。布魯塞爾：EAA。
<https://mpr.ub.uni-muenchen.de/id/eprint/10911>
- [17] WIDODO, H. 經濟績效和影響社會經濟, 環境核算 (SEEA) 背景的因素。在：第一屆知識分子全球責任國際會議 (ICIGR 2017)。阿姆斯特丹：亞特蘭蒂斯出版社, 2018 : 10-14
<https://doi.org/10.2991/icigr-17.2018.3>
- [18] BALL, P. & LUNT, P. 通過維護組織在運營中進行精益環保的創新。國際生產經濟學雜誌, 2018 : 1070-91。
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2018.07.007>
- [19] WANG X, & XIAO, Z. 支持向量空間動態 MIDAS 的區域生態效率預測。清潔生產雜誌, 2017, 161 : 165-177。
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.05.077>
- [20] L. GORISSEN, K. VRANCKEN 和 S. MANSHOVEN. 過渡思維和商業模式創新—邁向轉型性商業模式, 比利時林堡的重用中心發揮了新的作用。可持續發展, 2017, 8 (2) : 112。
<https://doi.org/10.3390/su8020112>
- [21] BEBBINGTON, J. 《參與度, 教育與可持續性：環境會計評論》, 《會計, 審計與責任雜誌》 1997, 10 (3) : 365-381。
<https://doi.org/10.1108/09513579710178115>
- [22] MOHAMMAD, N. 為可持續發展而需要實施環境會計教育：概述。世界科學, 工程和技術研究院, 2012, 63 : 900-907。
<https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/ada5/9ed5851e4250178c6d3cd193aeaa64b220d0.pdf>
- [23] BOYD, J. 和 BANZHAF, S. 什麼是生態系統服務？需要標準化的環境核算單位。生態經濟學, 2007, 63 (2-3) : 616-626。
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2007.01.002>
- [24] LARRINAGA-GONZALEZ, C. 和 BEBBINGTON, J. 會計變更或機構撥款？實施環境會計的案例研究。會計批判性觀點, 2001, 12 : 269-292
<https://doi.org/10.1006/cpac.2000.0433>
- [25] 白G.如何報告公司的可持續發展活動。管理會計季刊, 2005, 7 (1) : 36-43。
- [26] BISWAS, S. 和 O'GRADY, W. 使用外部環境報告將可持續性納入組織實踐中。會計研究雜誌, 2016 29 (2) : 218-235
<https://doi.org/10.1108/ARJ-04-2015-0063>
- [27] PORTER, M. E. 和 VAN DER LINDE, C. 建立環境競爭關係的新概念。經濟觀點雜誌, 1995, 9(4) , 97-118。
- [28] WEISS J., STEPHAN A. 和 ANISIMOVA T. 精心設計的環境法規和企業績效：關於Porter假設和法規時間策略效果的瑞典證據。環境規劃與管理學報, 2019 , 62 (2) , 342-363。
<https://doi.org/10.1080/09640568.2017.1419940>
- [29] RAMANATHAN, R., HE, Q., BLACK, A., GHOBADIAN, A. & GALLEAR, D. 環境法規, 創新和企

- 業績：對波特假說的重新審視。清潔生產學報，2017，155：79-92。 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2016.08.116>
- [30] HACKSTON, D. 和 MILNE, M. J. 新西蘭公司社會和環境信息披露的一些決定因素。會計，審計與責任雜誌，1996，9（1）：77-108。 <https://doi.org/10.1108/09513579610109987>
- [31] LIU X., & ANBUMOZHI, V. 企業環境信息披露的決定因素：對中國上市公司的一項實證研究。清潔生產學報，2009，17（6）：593-600。 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2008.10.001>
- [32] GIANNARAKIS, G., ANDRONIKIDIS, A. 和 SARIANNIDIS, N. 環境披露的決定因素：調查新的和常規的公司治理特徵。運籌學年鑑，2019，294（1）：1-19。 <https://www.cdp.net/en>。
- [33] LOKUWADUGE, C. S. D. S. 和 HEENETIGALA, K. 整合環境，社會和治理（ESG）信息以實現可持續發展：一項澳大利亞研究。業務戰略與環境，2017，26（4）：438-450。 <https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.1927>
- [34] DE VILLIERS, C. 和 VAN STADEN, C.J. 較少的環境披露是否可以使法律合法化？來自非洲的證據。會計，組織與社會，2006，31（8）：763-781。 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aos.2006.03.001>
- [35] DEEGAN, C. 引言：社會和環境信息披露的合法化理論基礎。會計，審計與責任雜誌，2002，15（3）：282-311。 <https://doi.org/10.1108/09513570210435852>
- [36] MONEVA, J., ARCHEL, P. 和 CORREA, C. GRI, 以及對公司不可持續性的偽裝。會計論壇，2006，30：121-137。 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.accfor.2006.02.001>
- [37] D. NEU, H. WARSAME 和 K. PEDWELL. 管理公眾印象：年度報告中的環境披露。會計，組織與社會，1998，23（3）：265-282。 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0361-3682\(97\)00008-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0361-3682(97)00008-1)
- [38] W. D. WALDEN 和 B. N. SCHWARTZ 環境披露和公共政策壓力。會計與公共政策雜誌，1997，16（2）：125-154。 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0278-4254\(96\)00015-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0278-4254(96)00015-4)
- [39] D'AMICO, E., COLUCCIA, D., FONTANA, S., 和 SOLIMENE, S. 影響公司環境披露的因素。商業策略與環境，2016，25（3）：178-192。 <https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.1865>
- [40] FUENTE, J.A., GARCÍA-SANCHEZ, I.M. 和 LOZANO, M.B. 董事會在採用GRI準則披露企業社會責任信息中的作用。清潔生產雜誌，2017，141：737-750。 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2016.09.155>
- [41] PARKER, L. D. 社會和環境責任研究。會計，審計與責任雜誌，2005，18：842-860。 <https://doi.org/10.1108/09513570510627739>
- [42] OWEN, D. 浪費時間的編年史？對社會和環境會計研究的現狀和未來的個人反思。會計，審計與責任雜誌，2008，21（2）：240-267。
- [43] ÁLVAREZ-GARCÍA, J., DELRÍO, M. D. L. C., SARAIVA, M. 和 PIRES, A. R. 動機和障礙對收益的影響。對西班牙EMAS認證企業的實證研究。清潔生產學報，2018，185（1）：62-74。 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.03.023>
- [44] LANGFIELD-SMITH, K. 我們對管理控制系統和策略了解多少？於：CHAPMAN C.S. (Ed.) 控制策略：管理，會計和績效評估。牛津：牛津大學出版社，2005（第62-85頁）。
- [45] ABERNETHY, M. A. 和 CHUA, W. F. 對控制系統“重新設計”的實地研究：制度過程對戰略選擇的影響。當代會計研究，1996，13（2）：569-606。 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1911-3846.1996.tb00515.x>
- [46] ALVESSON, M., KARREMAN, D. 控制接口。一家全球管理諮詢公司中的技術官僚和社會意識形態控制。會計，組織與社會，2004，29：423-444。 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0361-3682\(03\)00034-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0361-3682(03)00034-5)
- [47] M. BAKER, D. BROWN 和 T. MALMI. 通過MCS軟件包實施環境戰略。會計學院工作論文系列78，會計學院。悉尼：悉尼科技大學，2006年4月。
- [48] BUTTERFIELD, E. 管理決策和管理會計信息。碩士學位論文。赫爾辛基：赫爾辛基大都會應用科技大學，2016年。 <http://um.fi/URN:NBN:fi:amk-201603283589>
- [49] BURNETT, R. D. 和 HANSEN, D. R. 生態效率：定義環境成本管理的作用。會計，組織與社會，2008，33（6）：551-581。 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aos.2007.06.002>
- [50] AVSEC, S. 和 KAUČIČ, B. (2018)。固體廢物回收的生態高效決策支持模型。環境工程與管理雜誌（EEMJ），17（5）。 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.05.077>
- [51] BEBBINGTON J. 和 GREY R. 關於可持續性的描述：失敗，成功和重新概念化，《會計批判觀點》，2001，第12期：557-587。 https://www.st-andrews.ac.uk/media/csear/discussion-papers/CSEAR_dps-sustain-access.pdf
- [52] LEHMAN, G. 全球責任與可持續性：研究前景。會計論壇，2002，26（3-4）：219-232。 <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-6303.00087>
- [53] SCHALTEGGER S. 和 BURRITT R. 當代環境會計問題，概念和實踐。謝菲爾德：格林葉出版社，2000年。 <https://doi.org/10.1108/ijshe.2001.2.3.288.1>
- [54] GARZA-REYES, J.A., KUMAR, V., CHAIKITTISILP, S., 和 TAN, K. H. 精益方法和工具對製造組織的環境績效的影響。國際生產經濟學雜誌，2018，200：170-180。 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2018.03.030>
- [55] GUENSTER, N., BAUER, R., DERWALL, J. 和 KOEDIJK, K. 企業生態效率的經濟價值。歐洲金融管理，2011，17（4）：679-704。 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-036X.2009.00532.x>
- [56] DE SIMONE, L. D. 和 POPOFF, F. 生態效率：與可持續發展的商業聯繫。馬薩諸塞州劍橋：麻省理工學院出版社，1997。
- [57] BURRITT R. L. 環境管理會計：通往綠色宜人士地的路障。商業策略與環境，2004，13：13-32。 <https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.379>

- [58] AL-TUWAIJRI, A. S., CHRISTENSEN, T. E. 和 HUGHES, K. E. 環境披露, 環境績效和經濟績效之間的關係：聯立方程法。會計, 組織與社會, 2004 : 29 : 447-471。 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0361-3682\(03\)00032-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0361-3682(03)00032-1)
- [59] MURTY, M. N. 和 KUMAR, S. 雙贏的機會和環境法規：檢驗印度製造業搬運工假設。環境管理雜誌, 2003, 67 : 139-144。 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0301-4797\(02\)00203-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0301-4797(02)00203-7)
- [60] GRAY, C. 小型企業的吸收能力, 知識管理和創新。國際企業家行為與研究雜誌, 2006, 12 (6)。 <https://doi.org/10.1108/13552550610710144>
- [61] THOMPSON P. 和 COWTON C. 將環境納入銀行貸款：對環境報告的影響。英國會計評論, 2004, 36 : 197-218。 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bar.2003.11.005>
- [62] WYCHERLEY I. 環境經理和會計。應用管理研究學報, 1997, 6 (2) : 169-176。
- [63] ADAMS, C. A. 影響公司社會和道德報告的內部組織因素。會計, 審計與責任雜誌, 2002, 15 (2) : 223-250。 <https://doi.org/10.1108/09513570210418905>
- [64] DAFT R.L. 管理的新時代。波士頓第二版：Thomson South-Western, 2008。
- [65] HARTE, G., LEWIS, L. 和 OWEN, D. 道德投資和公司報告功能。會計批判性觀點, 1991, 2 (3) 227-253。 [https://doi.org/10.1016/1045-2354\(91\)90012-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/1045-2354(91)90012-3)
- [66] JOSHI, M. L., MARIN, O., CHARON, O. & DUGUE, J. 美國專利號6,241,514。華盛頓特區：美國專利商標局, 2001。
- [67] SUWARNO S., RAHMAWATI R., DJUMINAH D., MUTHMAINAH M. 和 KUNCARA W. A. 公司的社会责任披露对应计利润和实际操纵性利润管理有好处吗？西南交通大学学报, 2020, 55 (2)。 <http://jsju.org/index.php/journal/article/view/581>